

SECTION 290**Independence–Audit and Review Engagements****Objective and Structure of this Section**

290.1 This section addresses the independence requirements for audit and review engagements, which are assurance engagements in which a professional accountant expresses a conclusion on historical financial information. Such engagements include audit and review engagements to report on a:

- Complete set of general purpose financial statements;
- Complete set of financial statements prepared in accordance with a framework designed for a special purpose;
- Single financial statement; and
- One or more specific elements, accounts or items of a financial statement.

The independence requirements in this section apply to all audit and review engagements. However in limited circumstances involving certain audit engagements where the audit report is restricted for use by only the intended users specified in the report, the independence requirements in this section may be modified as provided in paragraphs 290.300 to 290.312.

Independence requirements for assurance engagements that are not audit or review engagements are addressed in Section 291.

290.2 ~~Throughout~~In this section, the term(s):

- “financial statements” ~~also~~ includes other historical financial information when such information is the subject matter information of the engagement;
- “audit team,” “audit engagement,” “audit client” and “audit report” ~~also~~ includes review teams, review engagements, review clients and review reports;
- “firm” ~~also~~ includes network firm except where otherwise stated; and
- “entities of significant public interest” includes listed entities.

290.3 Compliance with the fundamental principle of objectivity is enhanced by being independent of audit clients. In the case of audit engagements, it is in the

public interest and, therefore, required by this Code of Ethics, that members of **audit teams**,* firms and **network firms*** be independent of audit clients.

290.4 The objective of this section is to assist firms and members of audit teams in applying a conceptual approach to achieving and maintaining independence that involves:

- (a) Identifying threats to independence;
- (b) Evaluating whether these threats are clearly insignificant; and
- (c) ~~In cases-When~~ when the threats are not clearly insignificant, identifying and applying safeguards to eliminate the threats or reduce them to an acceptable level.

Professional judgment should be used to determine the appropriate safeguards to eliminate any threats or to reduce them to an acceptable level. If appropriate safeguards are not available, the audit engagement should be declined or terminated.

290.5 This section ~~is does~~ not prescribe ~~as to~~ the specific responsibility of individuals within the firm for actions related to independence because responsibility may differ depending upon the size, structure and organization of a firm. Accordingly, ~~it is necessary for firms to~~ ~~should~~ have policies and procedures, appropriately documented and communicated, to assign responsibility for (a) identifying and evaluating threats to independence and (b) applying appropriate safeguards to eliminate any threats or reduce them to an acceptable level.

290.6 This section concludes with some examples (paragraphs 290.100 onwards) of how the conceptual approach to independence is to be applied to specific circumstances and relationships. The examples are not intended to be all-inclusive.

A Conceptual Approach to Independence

290.7 Independence requires:

Independence of Mind

The state of mind that permits the expression of a conclusion without being affected by influences that compromise professional judgment, thereby allowing an individual to act with integrity, and exercise objectivity and professional skepticism.

* See Definitions.

Independence in Appearance

The avoidance of facts and circumstances that are so significant that a reasonable and informed third party would be likely to conclude, weighing all the specific facts and circumstances, that a firm's, or a member of the audit team's, integrity, objectivity or professional skepticism has been compromised.

- 290.8 Many different circumstances, or combination of circumstances, may be relevant in assessing independence. Accordingly it is impossible to define every situation that creates threats to independence and specify the appropriate mitigating action ~~that should be taken~~. A conceptual framework that requires firms and members of audit teams to identify, evaluate and address threats to independence rather than merely comply with a set of specific rules ~~which that~~ may be arbitrary is, therefore, in the public interest.
- 290.9 In deciding whether ~~it is appropriate~~ to accept or continue an engagement, or whether a particular individual should be a member of the audit team, a firm should, therefore, evaluate the relevant circumstances and the threats to independence, as well as the nature of the ~~required~~ safeguards ~~required~~. The evaluation should be supported by information obtained both before accepting the engagement and while it is being performed.

Networks and Network Firms

- 290.10 ~~If a firm is considered to be a network firm, the firm is required to be independent of the audit clients of the other firms within the network (unless otherwise stated). An entity that belongs to a network might be a firm, which is defined in this Code as a sole practitioner, partnership or corporation of professional accountants and an entity that controls or is controlled by such parties, or the entity might be another type of entity, such as a consulting practice or a professional law practice. The independence requirements in this section that apply to a network firm apply to any entity that meets the definition of a network firm irrespective of whether the entity itself meets the definition of a firm. If a firm is considered to be a network firm, the firm is required to be independent of the audit clients of the other firms within the network.~~
- 290.11 To enhance their ability to provide professional services, firms frequently form larger structures with other firms and entities. Whether these larger structures create a network depends upon the particular facts and circumstances and does not depend on whether the firms and entities are legally separate and distinct. For example, a larger structure may be aimed only at facilitating the referral of work, which in itself does not meet the criteria necessary to constitute a network. Alternatively, a larger structure might be such that it is aimed at co-operation and the firms share a common brand name, a common system of

quality control, or significant professional resources and consequently is considered to be a network.

- 290.12 The judgment as to whether the larger structure is a network should be made in light of whether a reasonable and informed third party would be likely to conclude, weighing all the specific facts and circumstances, that the entities are associated in such a way that a network exists. This judgment should be applied consistently throughout the network.
- 290.13 Where the larger structure is aimed at co-operation and it is clearly aimed at profit or cost sharing among the entities within the structure, it is considered to be a network. However, the sharing of immaterial costs would not in itself create a network. In addition, if the sharing of costs is limited only to those costs related to the development of audit methodologies, manuals, or training courses, this would not in itself create a network. Further, an association between a firm and an otherwise unrelated entity to jointly provide a service or develop a product would not in itself create a network.
- 290.14 Where the larger structure is aimed at cooperation and the entities within the structure share common ownership, control or management, it is considered to be a network. This could be achieved by contract or other means.
- 290.15 Where the larger structure is aimed at co-operation and the entities within the structure share common quality control policies and procedures, it is considered to be a network. For this purpose common quality control policies and procedures would be those designed, implemented and monitored across the larger structure.
- 290.16 Where the larger structure is aimed at co-operation and the entities within the structure share a common business strategy, it is considered to be a network. Sharing a common business strategy involves an agreement by the entities to achieve common strategic objectives. An entity is not considered to be a network firm merely because it co-operates with another entity solely to respond jointly to a request for a proposal for the provision of a professional service.
- 290.17 Where the larger structure is aimed at co-operation and the entities within the structure share the use of a common brand name, it is considered to be a network. A common brand name includes common initials or a common name. A firm is considered to be using a common brand name if it includes, for example, the common brand name as part of, or along with, its firm name, when a partner of the firm signs an audit report.

- 290.18 Even though a firm does not belong to a network and does not use a common brand name as part of its firm name, it may give the appearance that it belongs to a network if it makes reference in its stationery or promotional materials to being a member of an association of firms. Accordingly, a firm should carefully consider how it describes any such memberships in order to avoid the perception that it belongs to a network.
- 290.19 If a firm sells a component of its practice, the sales agreement sometimes provides that, for a limited period of time, the component may continue to use the name of the firm, or an element of the name, even though it is no longer connected to the firm. In such circumstances, while the two entities may be practicing under a common name, the facts are such that they do not belong to a larger structure aimed at co-operation and are, therefore, not network firms. Those entities should carefully consider how to disclose that they are not network firms when presenting themselves to outside parties.
- 290.20 Where the larger structure is aimed at co-operation and the entities within the structure share a significant part of professional resources, it is considered to be a network. Professional resources include:
- Common systems that enable firms to exchange information such as client data, billing and time records;
 - Partners and staff;
 - Technical departments to consult on technical or industry specific issues, transactions or events for assurance engagements;
 - Audit methodology or audit manuals; and
 - Training courses and facilities.
- 290.21 The determination of whether the professional resources shared are significant, and therefore the firms are network firms, should be made based on the relevant facts and circumstances. Where the shared resources are limited to common audit methodology or audit manuals, with no exchange of personnel or client or market information, it is unlikely that the shared resources would be considered to be significant. The same applies to a common training endeavor. Where, however, the shared resources involve the exchange of people or information, such as where staff are drawn from a shared pool, or a common technical department is created within the larger structure to provide participating firms with technical advice that the firms are required to follow, a reasonable and informed third party is more likely to conclude that the shared resources are significant.

Entities of Significant Public Interest

- 290.22 ~~The E~~~~valuating on of~~ the significance of threats to independence and the safeguards necessary to eliminate them or reduce them to an acceptable level takes into account the extent of public interest in the entity. Entities of significant public interest are listed entities and certain other entities that, because of their business, size or number of employees, have a large number and wide range of stakeholders. The extent of the public interest in these entities is significant. ~~T~~~~his section, therefore, contains enhanced safeguards to recognize that interest, eliminate threats or reduce them to an acceptable level.~~
- 290.23 In some countries, the ~~scope of~~ all entities considered to be of significant public interest for ~~the purpose of determining the independence requirements that apply in that country independence purposes is~~ are defined by statute law or regulation. In such cases, that definition should be used in applying the requirements in this section. In the absence of such a definition, member bodies should determine the types of entities that are of significant public interest and, thus, subject to the enhanced safeguards referred to above. Entities of significant public interest will always include listed entities, ~~and, depending on the facts and circumstances, will normally include regulated financial institutions such as banks and, insurance companies and other regulated financial institutions, and may, depending on the facts and circumstances, include pension funds, government-agencies, government-owned entities and not-for-profit entities.~~

Related Entities

- 290.24 In the case of an audit client that is a listed entity, references to an audit client in this section include related entities of the client. In the case of ~~non-listed other~~ entities of significant public interest ~~that are audit clients~~, references to “audit client” will, ~~unless otherwise stated, generally include its related entities. However, in certain circumstances, having regard to~~ depending on the nature and structure of the client’s organization, it may not be necessary to apply the more extensive requirements referred to above to all related entities ~~in order to maintain independence from the audit client. This might be the case, for example, in an audit of a government-owned entity.~~
- 290.25 For audit clients that are not entities of significant public interest, when the audit team knows or has reason to believe that a **related entity*** of the client is relevant to the evaluation of the firm’s independence ~~of from~~ the client, the audit team should consider that related entity when evaluating threats to independence and applying appropriate safeguards.

* See Definitions.

Those Charged with Governance

290.26 Regular communication is encouraged between the firm and **those charged with governance*** ~~of the audit client~~ regarding relationships and other matters that might, in the firm's opinion, reasonably ~~be thought to~~ bear on independence is encouraged, even when not required by applicable auditing standards, law or regulation. Such communication enables those charged with governance to consider the firm's judgments ~~made by the firm~~ in identifying and evaluating threats to independence, and the appropriateness of ~~the~~ safeguards applied to eliminate the m-threats or reduce them to an acceptable level and take appropriate action. Such ~~communication an approach~~ can be particularly helpful with respect to intimidation and familiarity threats.

Documentation

290.27 Standards on quality control and auditing standards require documentation of matters important to the audit. ~~While-Although~~ documentation is not, of itself, a determinant of whether a firm is independent, when threats to independence that are not clearly insignificant are identified, and the firm decides to accept or continue the audit engagement, the decision should be documented. The documentation should ~~include a description of~~ the threats identified and the safeguards applied to eliminate the m-threats or reduce them to an acceptable level.

Engagement Period

290.28 ~~The members of the audit team and the firm should be independent of from~~ the audit client is required both during the engagement period and the period covered by the financial statements. The engagement period starts when the audit team begins to perform audit services. The engagement period ends when the audit report is issued, except when the engagement is of a recurring nature. In such a case it ends at the later of the notification by either party that the professional relationship has terminated or the issuance of the final audit report.

290.29 When an entity becomes an audit client during or after the period covered by the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion, the firm should consider whether any threats to independence may be created by:

- Financial or business relationships with the audit client during or after the period covered by the financial statements, but before ~~prior to the~~ acceptance of the audit engagement; or
- Previous services provided to the audit client.

290.30 If a non-assurance service was provided to the audit client during or after the period covered by the financial statements but before the commencement of

professional services in connection with the audit and the service would be prohibited during the period of the audit engagement, consideration should be given to ~~the any~~ threats to independence, ~~if any~~, arising from the service. If the threat is ~~other than~~not clearly insignificant, safeguards should be considered and applied as necessary to reduce the threat to an acceptable level. Such safeguards may include:

- Obtaining the client's acknowledgement of responsibility for the results of the non-assurance service;
- Precluding personnel who provided the non-assurance service from being members of the audit team; or
- Engaging another firm to evaluate the results of the non-assurance service or having another firm re-perform the non-assurance service to the extent necessary to enable it to take responsibility for the service.

Other Considerations

290.31 There may be occasions when there is an inadvertent violation of this section. If such an inadvertent violation occurs, it would generally not compromise independence with respect to the client provided the firm has appropriate quality control policies and procedures in place to promote independence and, once discovered, the violation is corrected promptly and any necessary safeguards are applied.

290.32 Throughout this section, reference is made to significant and clearly insignificant threats ~~in the evaluation of~~to independence. In considering the significance of any particular matter, qualitative as well as quantitative factors should be taken into account. A matter should be considered clearly insignificant only if it is deemed to be both trivial and inconsequential.

APPLICATION OF FRAMEWORK TO SPECIFIC SITUATIONS

CONTENTS

	Paragraph
Introduction	290.100
Financial Interests	290.101
Loans and Guarantees	290.116
Close Business Relationships	290.121
Family and Personal Relationships	290.124
Employment with an Audit Client	290.131
Audit Clients of Significant Public Interest	290.135
Temporary Staff Assignments	290.138
Recent Service with an Audit Client	290.139
Serving as a Director or Officer of an Audit Client	290.142
Long Association of Senior Personnel	
General Provisions	290.146
Audit Clients of Significant Public Interest	290.147
Provision of Non-assurance Services to Audit Clients	290.151
Management Responsibilities	290.156
Preparing Accounting Records and Financial Statements	290.161
Audit Clients that are Not Entities of Significant Public Interest	290.165
Audit Clients that are Entities of Significant Public Interest	290.166
Emergency Situations	290.168
Valuation Services	290.169
Audit Clients that are Entities of Significant Public Interest	290.173
Taxation Services	290.174
Tax Return Preparation	290.176
Preparation of Tax Calculations Intended to be used as the Basis for the Accounting Entries in the Financial Statements	290.177
Audit Clients that are Entities of Significant Public Interest	290.178
Tax Planning and Other Tax Advisory Services	290.179
Assistance in the Resolution of Tax Dispute	290.183
Internal Audit Services	290.186
IT Systems Services	290.192
Audit Clients that are Not Entities of Significant Public Interest	290.194
Audit Clients that are Entities of Significant Public Interest	290.197
Litigation Support Services	290.198
Legal Services	290.201
Recruiting Senior Management	290.206
Audit Clients that are Entities of Significant Public Interest	290.207
Corporate Finance Services	290.208
Fees	
Fees—Relative Size	290.214
Fees—Overdue	290.220

Contingent Fees	290.217
Compensation and Evaluation Policies	290.221
Gifts and Hospitality	290.223
Actual or Threatened Litigation	290.224
<u>Restricted Use Reports</u>	
Introduction	290.300
Entities of Significant Public Interest	290.304
Networks and Network Firms	290.305
<u>Financial Interests, Loans and Guarantees, Close Business</u>	
Relationships and Family and Personal Relationships	290.306
Employment with an Audit Client	290.311
Provision of Non-Assurance Services to Audit Clients	290.312

DEFINITIONS

Introduction

290.100 The following examples describe specific circumstances and relationships that may create threats to independence. The examples describe the potential threats ~~created~~ and the safeguards that may be appropriate to eliminate the threats or reduce them to an acceptable level in each circumstance. The examples are not all-inclusive. In practice, the firm and the members of the audit team will be required to assess the implications of similar, but different, circumstances and relationships and to determine whether safeguards, including the safeguards in paragraphs 200.12 through 200.15 can be applied to satisfactorily address the threats to independence.

Financial Interests

290.101 ~~Holding a~~ financial interest in an audit client may create a self-interest threat. In evaluating the significance of any threat, and the appropriate safeguards to be applied to eliminate ~~the threat~~ or reduce it to an acceptable level, it is necessary to examine the nature of the financial interest. This includes ~~an~~ evaluating ~~on of (a)~~ the role of the person holding the financial interest, ~~(b)~~ the materiality of the financial interest and ~~(c)~~ whether the financial interest is direct or indirect.

290.102 When evaluating ~~the type of whether the~~ financial interest ~~is direct or indirect~~, consideration should be given to the fact that financial interests range from those where the individual has no control over the investment vehicle or the financial interest it holds (e.g., a mutual fund, unit trust or similar intermediary vehicle) to those where the individual has control over the financial interest (e.g., as a trustee) or is able to influence investment decisions. In evaluating the significance of any threat to independence ~~from an interest held through an investment vehicle~~, it is important to consider the nature of the financial interest ~~it holds, and~~ whether control can be exercised over the intermediary ~~and or~~ its investment strategy. When control ~~or the ability to influence investment decisions~~ exists, the financial interest should be considered direct. Conversely, when the holder of the financial interest has no ability to exercise control ~~or influence the investment decisions~~, the financial interest should be considered indirect.

290.103 If a member of the audit team, ~~an his or her~~ immediate family member, or a firm has a **direct financial interest*** or a material **indirect financial interest*** in the audit client, the self-interest threat ~~created~~ would be so significant no safeguards ~~could~~ eliminate ~~the threat~~ or reduce ~~it the threat~~ to an acceptable level. Therefore, a member of the audit team, his or her immediate family

* See Definitions.

member, or a firm should not have a direct financial interest or a material indirect financial interest in the client.

290.104 When a member of the audit team knows that his or her close family member has a direct financial interest or a material indirect financial interest in the audit client, a self-interest threat may be created. In evaluating the significance of any threat, consideration should be given to the nature of the relationship between the member of the audit team and the close family member and the materiality of the financial interest to the close family member. ~~The significance of any threat should be evaluated and, if the threat is other than~~ clearly insignificant, safeguards should be considered and applied as necessary to eliminate the threat or reduce it to an acceptable level. Such safeguards might include:

- The close family member disposing, as soon as practicable, of all of the financial interest or disposing of a sufficient portion of an indirect financial interest ~~such so~~ that the remaining interest is no longer material;
- ~~Involving Having~~ a professional accountant ~~to~~ perform an additional review of the work ~~performed by of~~ the relevant member of the audit team; or
- Removing the individual from the audit team.

290.105 If a member of the audit team, his or her immediate family member, or a firm has a financial interest in an entity that has a controlling interest in the audit client, and the client is material to the entity, the self-interest threat ~~created~~ would be so significant ~~that~~ no safeguards could reduce the threat to an acceptable level. Therefore, a member of the audit team, his or her immediate family member or a firm, should not have such a financial interest.

290.106 ~~The holding by~~ ~~if~~ a firm's retirement benefit plan of ~~a firm has~~ a direct or material indirect financial interest in an audit client, may create a self-interest threat ~~may be created~~. ~~Accordingly,~~ ~~T~~he significance of any such threat ~~created~~ should therefore be evaluated and, if the threat is ~~other than~~ clearly insignificant, safeguards should be considered and applied as necessary to eliminate the threat or reduce it to an acceptable level.

290.107 If other partners, ~~or their immediate family members,~~ in the **office*** in which the **engagement partner*** practices in connection with the audit engagement, or their immediate family members, hold a direct financial interest or a material indirect financial interest in that audit client, the self-interest threat ~~created~~ would be so significant ~~that~~ no safeguards could reduce the threat to an

* See Definitions.

acceptable level. Therefore, such partners or their immediate family members should not hold any such financial interests in such an audit client.

290.108 The office in which the engagement partner practices in connection with the audit engagement is not necessarily the office to which that partner is assigned. Accordingly, when the engagement partner is located in a different office from that of the other members of the audit team, judgment should be used to determine in which office the partner practices in connection with that engagement.

290.109 If other partners and managerial employees who provide non-audit services to the audit client, except those whose involvement is clearly insignificant, or their immediate family members, hold a direct financial interest or a material indirect financial interest in the audit client, the self-interest threat created would be so significant that no safeguards could reduce the threat to an acceptable level. Accordingly, such personnel or their immediate family members should not hold any such financial interests in such an audit client.

290.110 ~~Notwithstanding~~ ~~Despite~~ paragraphs 290.107 and 290.109, the holding of a financial interest in an audit client ~~that is held~~ by an immediate family member of (a) a partner located in the office in which the engagement partner practices in connection with the audit engagement, or (b) a partner or managerial employee who provides non-audit services to the audit client, is not considered to ~~create an unacceptable threat~~ compromise independence ~~provided if the~~ financial interest is received as a result of his or her employment rights (e.g., pension rights or share options) and, ~~where necessary,~~ appropriate safeguards, as necessary, are applied to eliminate any threat to independence or reduce it to an acceptable level. However ~~if~~ when the immediate family member has or obtains the right to dispose of the financial interest or, in the case of ~~a financial interest such as~~ a stock option, the right to exercise the option, the financial interest should be disposed of or forfeited as soon as practicable.

290.111 A self-interest threat may be created if the firm or a member of the audit team, or his or her immediate family member, has a financial interest in an entity and an audit client, or ~~a one of its~~ directors, officers or controlling owners ~~thereof~~ also has a financial interest in that entity. Independence is not compromised ~~with respect to the audit client~~ if these respective interests are both immaterial and the audit client cannot exercise significant influence over the entity. If ~~an~~ such interest is material to any party, and the audit client can exercise significant influence over the other entity, no safeguards ~~are available to~~ could reduce the threat to an acceptable level and the firm should either dispose of the interest or the firm should decline the audit engagement. Any individual with such a material interest should, ~~prior to~~ before becoming a member of the audit team, either:

- (a) Dispose of the interest; or
- (b) Dispose of a sufficient amount of the interest so that the remaining interest is no longer material.

290.112 ~~When~~ ~~The holding by~~ a firm or a member of the audit team, or his or her immediate family member, ~~holds of~~ a direct financial interest or a material indirect financial interest in the audit client as a trustee, ~~may create~~ a self-interest threat ~~may be created~~. Accordingly, such an interest should only be held when:

- ~~Neither~~ ~~the member of the audit team,~~ ~~nor~~ the immediate family member ~~of the member of the audit team,~~ ~~and~~ ~~nor~~ the firm are ~~not~~ beneficiaries of the trust;
- The interest held by the trust in the audit client is not material to the trust;
- The trust is not able to exercise significant influence over the audit client; and
- The member of the audit team, the immediate family member, or the firm does not have significant influence over any investment decision involving a financial interest in the audit client.

Similarly a self-interest threat may be created when ~~(a)~~ a partner in the office in which the engagement partner practices in connection with the audit, ~~(b)~~ other partners and managerial employees who provide non-assurance services to the audit client, except those whose involvement is clearly insignificant, or ~~(c)~~ their immediate family members, hold a direct financial interest or a material indirect financial interest in the audit client as trustee. Accordingly such an interest should only be held under the conditions noted above.

290.113 Consideration should be given by members of the audit team to whether a self-interest threat may be created by any known financial interests in the audit client held by other individuals. ~~Such individuals would include:~~

- Partners and professional employees of the firm, other than those referred to above, ~~and~~ ~~or~~ their immediate family members; and
- Individuals ~~who have~~ ~~with~~ a close personal relationship with a member of the audit team.

Whether ~~these~~ interests ~~held by such individuals~~ create a self-interest threat will depend upon factors such as:

- The firm's organizational, operating and reporting structure; and
- The nature of the relationship between the individual and the member of the audit team.

The significance of any threat should be evaluated and, if the threat is ~~other than~~ clearly insignificant, safeguards should be considered and applied as necessary to eliminate the threat or reduce it to an acceptable level. Such safeguards might include:

- Removing the member of the audit team with the personal relationship from the audit team;
- Excluding the member of the audit team ~~with the personal relationship from the audit team~~ from any significant decision-making concerning the audit engagement; or
- ~~Involving~~ Having a professional accountant ~~to~~ perform an additional review of the work ~~performed by~~ of the relevant member of the audit team.

290.114 If a firm or a ~~partner or employee of the firm~~ member of the audit team, a partner in the office in which the engagement partner practices in connection with the audit engagement, a partner or managerial employee who provides non-audit services to the audit client (except those whose involvement is clearly insignificant) or his or her immediate family member, receives, by way of, for example, an inheritance, gift or, as a result of a merger, a direct financial interest or a material indirect financial interest in an audit client, for example by way of an inheritance, gift or, as result of a merger, and such interest would not be permitted to be held under this section, then:

- (a) If the interest is received by the firm, the financial interest should be disposed of immediately, or a sufficient amount of an indirect financial interest should be disposed of so that the remaining interest is no longer material, or the firm should withdraw from the audit engagement;
- (b) If the interest is received by a member of the audit team, or his or her immediate family member, the individual should immediately dispose of the financial interest, or dispose of a sufficient amount of an indirect financial interest so that the remaining interest is no longer material, or the individual should be removed from the team; or
- (c) If the interest is received by an ~~professional individual~~ who is not a member of the audit team, or by his or her immediate family member, the individual should dispose of the financial interest as soon as possible, or dispose of a sufficient amount of an indirect financial interest so that the remaining interest is no longer material. ~~During the period prior to the disposal~~ Before disposing of the financial interest, consideration should be given to whether any safeguards are necessary to reduce the self-interest threat to an acceptable level.

290.115 An inadvertent violation of this section as it relates to a financial interest in an audit client would not compromise independence ~~provided~~ as long as:

- (a) The firm has established policies and procedures that require all professionals to promptly report ~~promptly~~ to the firm any breaches resulting from the purchase, inheritance or other acquisition of a financial interest in the audit client;
- (b) In the case of a purchase by an individual, the individual is advised that the financial interest should be disposed of and the disposal takes place ~~at the earliest date~~ as soon as possible after the identification of the issue or in other circumstances the actions prescribed in paragraph 290.114 are taken;
- (c) In the case of a purchase by the firm, the disposal takes place ~~at the earliest date~~ immediately after the identification of the issue; and
- (d) The firm considers whether any other safeguards should be applied. Such safeguards might include:
 - Involving an additional professional accountant to review the work ~~performed by~~ of the ~~relevant~~ member of the audit team; ~~or~~
 - Excluding the individual from any significant decision-making concerning the audit engagement; ~~or~~

~~In addition, consideration should be given to~~ Discussing the matter with those charged with governance.

Loans and Guarantees

290.116 A loan, or a guarantee of a loan, to the firm from an audit client that is a bank or a similar institution, may create a threat to independence. If the loan, or guarantee, is not made under normal lending procedures, terms and ~~requirements~~ conditions the self-interest threat ~~created~~ would be so significant ~~that~~ no safeguards could reduce the threat to an acceptable level. Accordingly, a firm should not accept such a loan or guarantee ~~of a loan~~.

290.117 If the loan is made under normal lending procedures, terms and ~~requirements~~ conditions and is material to the audit client, or ~~to the firm that has received~~ the loan, it may be possible, ~~through the~~ to ~~application of~~ safeguards, to reduce the self-interest threat ~~created~~ to an acceptable level. Such safeguards might include ~~involving a~~ review of the work by an additional professional accountant from ~~a network firm that is not involved outside the firm performing with the audit and outside of the firm that has~~ did not received the loan ~~to review the work performed~~.

290.118 A loan, or a guarantee of a loan, from an audit client that is a bank or a similar institution, ~~to a member of the audit team, or his or her immediate family member,~~ would not create a threat to independence ~~provided if~~ the loan, or guarantee, is made under normal lending procedures, terms and

~~requirements~~conditions. Examples of such loans include home mortgages, bank overdrafts, car loans and credit card balances.

290.119 If the firm, or a member of the audit team, or his or her immediate family member, makes or guarantees a loan to an audit client, ~~or guarantees such a client's borrowing,~~ the self-interest threat ~~created~~ would be so significant that no safeguards s could reduce the threat to an acceptable level, unless the loan or guarantee is immaterial to both the firm or the member of the audit team, or the immediate family member, and the client. However, deposits made by, or brokerage accounts of, a firm or ~~a~~ member of the audit team, or his or her immediate family member, with an audit client that is a bank, broker or similar institution would not create a threat to independence provided if the deposit or account is held under normal commercial terms.

290.120 Similarly, if the firm or a member of the audit team, or his or her immediate family member, accepts a loan from, or has a borrowing guaranteed by, an audit client that is not a bank or similar institution, the self-interest threat ~~created~~ would be so significant that no safeguards s could reduce the threat to an acceptable level, unless the loan or guarantee is immaterial to both the firm or the member of the audit team, or the immediate family member, and the client.

Close Business Relationships

290.121 A close business relationship between a firm, or a member of the audit team, or his or her immediate family member, and the audit client or its management, will involve a commercial relationship or common financial interest and may create self-interest and/or intimidation threats. The following are examples of such relationships:

- Having a financial interest in a joint venture with either the client or a controlling owner, director, officer or other individual who performs senior managerial functions for that client.
- Arrangements to combine one or more services or products of the firm with one or more services or products of the client and to market the package with reference to both parties.
- Distribution or marketing arrangements under which the firm acts as a distributor~~or~~ or market~~er of~~ the client's products or services, or the client acts as the distributor~~or~~ or market~~er of~~ the firm's products or services ~~of the firm~~.

Unless any financial interest is immaterial and the relationship is clearly insignificant to the firm and the client, no safeguards could reduce the threat to an acceptable level. ~~Therefore, in such circumstances~~ If the magnitude of the relationship cannot be reduced so that the financial interest is immaterial and the relationship is clearly insignificant:

- (a) The business relationship should be terminated; or
- (b) The firm should refuse to perform the audit engagement.

In the case of a member of the audit team, unless any such financial interest is immaterial and the relationship is clearly insignificant to that member of the audit team, the individual should be removed from the audit team.

If the close business relationship is between an immediate family member of a member of the audit team and the audit client or its management, the significance of the threat should be evaluated and, if the threat is other than not clearly insignificant, safeguards should be considered and applied as necessary to eliminate the threat or reduce it to an acceptable level.

290.122 A business relationship involving the holding of an interest held by the firm, or a member of the audit team, or his or her immediate family member, in a closely held entity when the audit client or a director or officer of the client, or any group thereof, also has holds an interest in that entity, does not create threats to independence provided if:

- (a) The relationship is clearly insignificant to the firm, the member of the audit team, or his or her immediate family member and the client;
- (b) The interest held is immaterial to the investor, or group of investors; and
- (c) The interest does not give the investor, or group of investors, the ability to control the closely held entity.

290.123 The purchase of goods and services from an audit client by the firm, or a member of the audit team, or his or her immediate family member, would not generally create a threat to independence providing if the transaction is in the normal course of business and on an arm's length basis. However, such transactions may be of such a nature or magnitude so as to that they create a self-interest threat. If the threat created is other than not clearly insignificant, safeguards should be considered and applied as necessary to eliminate the threat or reduce it to an acceptable level. Such safeguards might include:

- Eliminating or reducing the magnitude of the transaction; or
- Removing the individual from the audit team.

Family and Personal Relationships

290.124 Family and personal relationships between a member of the audit team and a director, an officer or certain employees, (depending on his or her their role), of the audit client, may create self-interest, familiarity or intimidation threats. The significance of any threats will depend upon a number of factors, including the individual's responsibilities on the audit engagement, the closeness of the

relationship and the role of the family member or other individual within the client. Consequently, ~~there is~~ a wide spectrum of circumstances ~~that will~~ needs to be evaluated to assess the significance of the se threats.

290.125 When an immediate family member of a member of the audit team is:

- (a) ~~a~~ director of the audit client;
- (b) ~~or~~ an officer of the audit client; ~~;~~ or
- (c) an employee in a position to exert significant influence over the preparation of the client's accounting records or the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion;

or was in such a position during any period covered by the engagement, the threats to independence can only be reduced to an acceptable level by removing the individual from the audit team. The closeness of the relationship is such that no other safeguard could reduce the threat ~~to independence~~ to an acceptable level. If this safeguard is not applied, the firm should withdraw from the audit engagement.

290.126 Threats to independence may be created w~~h~~en an immediate family member of a member of the audit team is an employee in a position to exert significant influence over the client's financial position, financial performance ~~and or~~ cash flows, ~~threats to independence may be created~~. The significance of the threats will depend on factors such as:

- The position held by the immediate family member ~~holds with the client~~; and
- The role of the professional on the audit team.

The significance of the threat should be evaluated and, if the threat is ~~other than~~ not clearly insignificant, safeguards should be considered and applied as necessary to eliminate the threat or reduce it to an acceptable level. Such safeguards might include:

- Removing the individual from the audit team; or
- Structuring the responsibilities of the audit team so that the professional does not deal with matters that are within the responsibility of the immediate family member.

290.127 Threats to independence may be created w~~h~~en a close family member of a member of the audit team is:

- (a) ~~a~~ director of the audit client;
- (b) ~~or~~ an officer of the audit client; ~~;~~ or

(c) an employee in a position to exert significant influence over the preparation of the client's accounting records or the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion, ~~threats to independence may be created~~.

The significance of the threats will depend on factors such as:

- The nature of the relationship between the member of the audit team and his or her close family member;
- The position ~~held by~~ the close family member ~~holds with the client~~; and
- The role of the professional on the audit team.

The significance of any threat should be evaluated and, if the threat is ~~other than not~~ clearly insignificant, safeguards should be considered and applied as necessary to eliminate the threat or reduce it to an acceptable level. Such safeguards might include:

- Removing the individual from the audit team; or
- Structuring the responsibilities of the audit team so that the professional does not deal with matters that are within the responsibility of the close family member.

290.128 ~~Threats to independence may be created w~~When a person who is other than an immediate or close family member of a member of the audit team has a close relationship with the member of the audit team and is a director or an officer or an employee in a position to exert significant influence over the preparation of the client's accounting records or the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion, ~~threats to independence may be created~~. The significance of the threats will depend upon:

- The nature of the relationship between the individual and the member of the audit team;
- The position the individual holds with the client; and
- The role of the professional on the audit team.

Members of the audit team are responsible for identifying any such persons and for consulting in accordance with firm policies and procedures. The significance of any threat should be evaluated and, if the threat is ~~other than not~~ clearly insignificant, safeguards should be considered and applied as necessary to eliminate the threat or reduce it to an acceptable level. Such safeguards might include:

- Removing the professional from the audit team; or

- Structuring the responsibilities of the audit team so that the professional does not deal with matters that are within the responsibility of the individual with whom he or she has a close relationship.

290.129 Consideration should be given to whether self-interest, familiarity or intimidation threats may be created by a personal or family relationship between a partner or employee of the firm who is not a member of the audit team and a director or an officer of the audit client or an employee in a position to exert significant influence over the preparation of the client's accounting records or the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion. The significance of any threat ~~created~~ will depend upon factors such as:

- The nature of the relationship between the partner or employee of the firm and the client director, officer or employee of the client;
- The interaction of the partner ~~or~~ employee of the firm with the audit team;
- The position of the partner or employee within the firm; and
- The role of the individual within the client.

Partner and employees of the firm are responsible for identifying any such persons-relationships and for consulting in accordance with firm policies and procedures. The significance of any threat should be evaluated and, if the threat is other than clearly insignificant, safeguards should be considered and applied as necessary to eliminate the threat or reduce it to an acceptable level. Such safeguards might include:

- Structuring the partners or employee's responsibilities to reduce the level ~~of~~ interaction with the audit team; or
- Involving-Having another professional accountant ~~to~~ review the work performed or otherwise advise as necessary.

290.130 An inadvertent violation of this section as it relates to family and personal relationships would not compromise independence provided if:

- (a) The firm has established policies and procedures that require all professionals to report promptly to the firm any breaches resulting from changes in the employment status of their immediate or close family members or other personal relationships that create threats to independence;
- (b) If the inadvertent violation relates to an immediate family member of a member of the audit team becoming a director or an officer of the audit client or an employee in a position to exert significant influence over the preparation of the client's accounting records or the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion, the relevant professional is removed from the audit team; and

- (c) The firm considers and applies as appropriate whether any other safeguards ~~should be applied~~. Such safeguards might include:
- ~~Involving Having~~ an additional professional accountant ~~to~~ review the work ~~performed by of~~ the member of the audit team; or
 - Excluding the individual-relevant professional from any significant decision-making concerning the engagement.

Employment with an Audit Client

290.131 ~~Self-interest, familiarity or intimidation threats may be created. A firm or a member of the audit team's independence may be threatened if a director, or an officer of the audit client, or an employee in a position to exert significant influence over the preparation of the client's accounting records or the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion, has been a member of the audit team or partner of the firm. Such circumstances may create self-interest, familiarity and or intimidation threats. This would be particularly the case~~ when significant connections remain between the individual and his or her former firm.

290.132 If a member of the audit team, partner or former partner of the firm has joined the audit client in such a position, the significance of the self-interest, familiarity or intimidation threats ~~created~~ will depend upon factors such as:

- (a) The position the individual has taken at the client;
- (b) ~~The amount of A~~any involvement the individual will have with the audit team;
- (c) The length of time ~~that has passed~~ since the individual was a member of the audit team or firm; and
- (d) The former position of the individual within the audit team or firm, such as for example, whether the individual was responsible for maintaining regular contact with management or those charged with governance.

In all cases the following safeguards are necessary to ensure that no significant connection remains between the firm and the individual:

- (a) The individual ~~concerned~~ is not entitled to any benefits or payments from the firm, ~~unless these are~~ made in accordance with fixed pre-determined arrangements. In addition, any amount owed to the individual should not be material to the firm;
- (b) The individual does not continue to participate or appear to participate in the firm's business or professional activities.

The significance of any remaining threat should be evaluated and if it is ~~other than~~ not clearly insignificant, safeguards should be considered and applied as

necessary to eliminate the threat or reduce it to an acceptable level. Such safeguards might include:

- Modifying the audit plan ~~for the engagement~~;
- Assigning an audit team ~~to the engagement~~ that is of sufficient experience in relation to the individual who has joined the client; or
- ~~Involving~~ Having an additional professional accountant ~~to review the work performed~~ or otherwise advise as necessary.

290.133 If a former partner of the firm has previously joined an entity in such a position and the entity subsequently becomes an audit client of the firm, any threats to independence should be evaluated and if the threats are ~~other than~~ not clearly insignificant, safeguards should be considered and applied, as necessary, to eliminate the threat or reduce it to an acceptable level.

290.134 A self-interest threat is created when a member of the audit team participates in the audit engagement while knowing that ~~they~~ he or she will, or may, join the client some time in the future. Firms ~~should have~~ policies and procedures ~~to~~ should require members of an audit team to notify the firm when entering employment negotiations with the client. Upon receiving such notification the significance of the threat should be evaluated and, if the threat is ~~other than~~ not clearly insignificant, safeguards should be considered and applied, as necessary, to eliminate the threat or reduce it to an acceptable level. Such safeguards might include:

- (a) Removal of the individual from the audit team; or
- (b) A review of any significant judgments made by that individual while on the ~~engagement~~ team.

Audit Clients of Significant Public Interest

290.135 ~~Self-interest, familiarity or intimidation threats will be created if~~ a key audit partner joins an audit client that is an entity of significant public interest: ~~as~~

- (a) ~~I-n a position to exert significant influence over the preparation of the entity's accounting records or its financial statements; or~~
- (b) ~~As a director or an officer of the entity, or (b) an employee in a position to exert significant influence over the preparation of the accounting records or the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion, self-interest, familiarity and intimidation threats will be created. Self interest, familiarity or intimidation threats will be created if~~ a key audit partner joins a related entity of such an audit client in a position to exert significant influence over the preparation of those accounting records or

those financial statements self-interest, familiarity and intimidation threats will be created. ~~The significance of the threats would be such n~~

~~No safeguards c~~would ~~be available to reduce eliminate~~ these threats or ~~eliminate-reduce~~ them to an acceptable level unless ~~that the entity of significant public interest had issued~~ audited financial statements ~~of the entity of significant public interest~~ covering a period of not less than twelve months, for which the partner was not a member of the audit team during any part of the period, ~~have been issued~~.

290.136 An intimidation threat will be created if the firm's Senior or Managing Partner (Chief Executive or similar position) joins an audit client of the firm that is an entity of significant public interest (a) in a position to exert significant influence over the preparation of the entity's accounting records or its financial statements or (b) as a director or an officer of the entity. ~~No safeguards could eliminate these threats or reduce them to an acceptable level unless twelve months have passed since the individual was the chief executive of the firm.~~

290.136290.137 ~~If a former key audit partner is~~, as a result of a business combination, ~~a former key audit partner or former chief executive of the firm is~~ in a position as described in paragraph 290.135~~8~~, the threats to independence are not considered unacceptable ~~provided if~~:

- (a) The position was not taken in contemplation of the business combination;
- (b) Any benefits or payments due to the partner from the firm have been settled in full, unless ~~these are~~ made in accordance with fixed pre-determined arrangements and any amount owed to the partner is not material to the firm;
- (c) The partner does not continue to participate or appear to participate in the firm's business or professional activities; and
- (d) The position taken by the partner with the audit client is discussed with those charged with governance.

290.137~~The employment or association of a current or former senior partner of the firm, such as the firm's managing partner, with an audit client that is an entity of significant public interest may, in particular, create intimidation threats. If the senior partner joins such an audit client as a director or an officer, or as an employee in a position to exert significant influence over the preparation of the accounting records of the audit client or the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion, the significance of any threat created should be evaluated. If the threat is other than not clearly insignificant, safeguards should be considered and applied as necessary to eliminate the threat or reduce it to an acceptable level. Such safeguards might include:~~

- ~~Modifying the audit plan for the engagement;~~

- ~~Assigning an audit team to the engagement that is of sufficient experience in relation to the individual who has joined the client; or~~
- ~~Involving Having an additional professional accountant to review the work performed or otherwise advise as necessary.~~

Temporary Staff Assignments

290.138 The lending of staff by a firm to an audit client may create a self-review threat. In practice, such assistance may be given, but only on the understanding that the assistance should only be for a short period of time and the firm's personnel will not be involved in:

- Providing non-assurance services ~~that would not be permitted under that the firm would not otherwise be able to provide in accordance with the requirements of this section;~~ or
- Performing management functions.

In all circumstances, the ~~audit client should acknowledge its responsibility for directing and supervising the activities of loaned staff. following safeguards should be applied:~~

- ~~The staff providing the assistance should not be given audit responsibility for any function or activity that they performed during their temporary staff assignment; and~~
- ~~The audit client should acknowledge its responsibility for directing and supervising the activities of firm personnel loaned staff.~~

The significance of any threat ~~created~~ should be evaluated and, if the threat is ~~other than~~ clearly insignificant, safeguards should be considered and applied as necessary to eliminate the threat or reduce it to an acceptable level. Such safeguards might include:

- ~~Conducting an additional review of the work performed by the loaned staff; and~~
- ~~Not giving the loaned staff audit responsibility for any function or activity that they performed during their temporary staff assignment.~~

Recent Service with an Audit Client

290.139 ~~Self-interest, self-review or familiarity threats may be created if~~ a former ~~officer, director, officer~~ or employee of the audit client serves as a member of the audit team ~~self-interest, self-review and familiarity threats may be created.~~ This would be particularly ~~true~~ ~~the case~~ when, for example, a member of the audit team has to evaluate elements of the financial statements for which he or she had prepared the accounting records while with the client.

290.140 If, during the period covered by the audit report, a member of the audit team had served as a director or an officer of the audit client, or ~~had been~~ an employee in a position to exert significant influence over the preparation of the client's accounting records or the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion, the threat created would be so significant no safeguard could reduce the threat to an acceptable level. Consequently, such individuals should not be assigned to the audit team.

290.141 Self-interest, self-review or familiarity threats may be created if, prior to before the period covered by the audit report, a member of the audit team had served as a director or an officer of the audit client, or ~~had been~~ an employee in a position to exert significant influence over the preparation of the client's accounting records or financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion ~~self interest, self review and familiarity threats may be created~~. For example, such threats would be created if a decision made or work performed by the individual in the prior period, while employed by the client, is to be evaluated in the current period as part of the current audit engagement. The significance of the threats will depend upon factors such as:

- The position the individual held with the client;
- The length of time ~~that has passed~~ since the individual left the client; and
- The role of the professional on the audit team.

The significance of the threat should be evaluated and, if the threat is ~~other than not~~ clearly insignificant, safeguards should be considered and applied as necessary to reduce the threat to an acceptable level. Such safeguards might include conducting an additional review of the work performed by the individual as part of the audit team.

Serving as a Director or Officer of an Audit Client

290.142 If a partner or employee of the firm serves at the same time as a director or an officer of an audit client, the self-review and self-interest threats ~~created~~ would be so significant ~~that no safeguards~~ could reduce the threats to an acceptable level. Therefore, if such an individual were to accept such a position the firm should decline or withdraw from the audit engagement.

290.143 The position of Company Secretary has different implications in different jurisdictions. ~~The D~~ duties may range from administrative duties such as personnel management and the maintenance of company records and registers, to duties as diverse as ensuring that the company complies with regulations or providing advice on corporate governance matters. Generally this position is seen to imply a close degree of association with the entity and may create self-review and advocacy threats.

290.144 If a partner or employee of the firm serves as Company Secretary for an audit client, the self-review and advocacy threats ~~created~~ would generally be so significant, that no safeguards could reduce the threat to an acceptable level. When this practice is specifically permitted under local law, professional rules or practice, the duties and functions ~~undertaken~~ should be limited to those of a routine and formal administrative nature such as ~~the preparation of~~ minutes and ~~maintenance~~ maintaining of statutory returns. Further, management should make all relevant decisions and, the significance of any threat should be evaluated and if not clearly insignificant safeguards applied to eliminate the threat or reduce it to an acceptable level.

290.145 Performing routine administrative services to support a company secretarial function or advisory work in relation to company secretarial administration matters ~~are will not~~ generally ~~not be~~ perceived to compromise independence, ~~provided as long as~~ client management makes all relevant decisions.

Long Association of Senior Personnel (Including Partner Rotation)

General Provisions

290.146 Familiarity, self-review or self-interest threats may be created by uUsing the same senior personnel on an audit engagement over a long period of time ~~may create a familiarity threat, a self-review and self-interest threat~~. The significance of the threat will depend upon factors such as:

- ~~The length of time that~~ How long the individual has been a member of the audit team;
- The role of the individual on the audit team;
- The structure of the firm;
- The nature of the audit engagement;
- Whether ~~there have been changes in~~ the client's management team has changed; and
- Whether ~~there has been a change in~~ the nature or complexity of the client's accounting and reporting issues has changed.

The significance of the threat should be evaluated and, if the threat is ~~other than~~ not clearly insignificant, safeguards should be considered and applied as necessary to eliminate the threat or reduce it to an acceptable level. Such safeguards might include:

- Rotating the senior personnel off the audit team;
- ~~Involving~~ Having an additional professional accountant who was not a member of the audit team ~~to review the work performed by~~ of the senior personnel; or

- Regular independent internal or external quality reviews of the engagement.

Audit Clients of Significant Public Interest

290.147 In respect of the audit of entities of significant public interest a key audit partner should be rotated off the audit team after serving for no more than seven years ~~as key audit partner in that role. After rotating off the audit team,~~ the individual should not ~~be a member of~~ return to the audit engagement team ~~or be a key audit partner for the client~~ for two years. During that period, the individual should not engage in any activities with respect to the audit of the entity, other than ~~those which are~~ clearly insignificant ones.

290.148 ~~Notwithstanding-Despite~~ paragraph 290.1487, key audit partners ~~(other than the engagement partner and the individual responsible for the engagement quality control review) whose if the individual's~~ continuity is especially important to audit quality may, in rare cases due to external and unforeseen circumstances, be permitted a single, limited flexibility, equal to no more than one additional year on the audit team, is permitted regarding the rotation of key audit partners other than the engagement partner and the individual responsible for the engagement quality control review, provided if any threat to independence that is ~~other than~~ not clearly insignificant can be eliminated or reduced to an acceptable level by the application applying of safeguards. For example, ~~that a partner may remain on the audit team for up to one additional year in~~ circumstances where, due to unforeseen events, a required rotation was not possible, as might be the case due to serious illness of the planned engagement partner there will be major changes to the audit client's management or structure that would coincide with the rotation of the partner and those changes are determined to be sufficient to mitigate the familiarity and self review threats, that partner may remain on the audit team for up to one additional year.

290.149 The long association of other partners with an audit client that is an entity of significant public interest may create a familiarity threat, a self-review threat and-or self-interest threat. The significance of the threat will depend upon factors such as:

- ~~The length of time~~ How long the individual has any such partner has been associated with the audit client;
- The role, if any, of the individual on the audit team; and
- The nature, frequency, and extent of the individual's interactions with the client, its board or those charged with governance.

The significance of the threat should be evaluated and, if the threat is ~~other than~~ clearly insignificant, safeguards should be considered and applied as necessary to eliminate the threat or reduce it to an acceptable level. Such safeguards might include:

- Rotating the ~~individual partner~~ off the audit team; or
- Regular independent internal or external quality reviews of the engagement.

290.150 When an audit client becomes an entity of significant public interest, the length of time the individual has served the audit client as a key audit partner ~~prior to~~ ~~before~~ the client becomes ~~ing~~ an entity of significant public interest should be considered in determining when the individual should be rotated. If the individual has served the audit client as a key audit partner for five years or less ~~at the time when~~ the client becomes an entity of significant public interest, the number of years the individual may continue to serve the client in that capacity before rotating off the engagement is ~~the difference between~~ seven years ~~and less~~ the number of years already served. If the individual has served the audit client as a key audit partner for six or more years ~~at the time when~~ the client becomes an entity of significant public interest, the partner may continue to serve in that capacity for two additional years before rotating off the engagement.

Provision of Non-assurance Services to Audit Clients

290.151 Firms have traditionally provided to their audit clients a range of non-assurance services that are consistent with their skills and expertise. ~~The~~ ~~Provision of~~ ~~Providing~~ non-assurance services may, however, create threats to the independence of the firm, or the members of the audit team. Before the firm accepts an engagement to provide a non-assurance service to an audit client, consideration should be given to whether ~~the~~ ~~provi~~ ~~ding~~ ~~on~~ of such a service would create a threat to independence. In evaluating the significance of any threat created by a particular non-assurance service, consideration should be given to any ~~additional~~ threat that the audit team has reason to believe may be created by ~~the~~ ~~provision of~~ ~~providing~~ other ~~related~~ non-assurance services ~~or~~ ~~the~~ ~~combination of~~ ~~non-assurance~~ ~~services~~. In some cases it may be possible to eliminate or reduce the threat created by the application of safeguards. In other cases no safeguards ~~are available to~~ ~~could~~ reduce the threat to an acceptable level, ~~and~~ ~~A~~ accordingly the non-assurance service should not be provided.

290.152 New developments in business, the evolution of financial markets, rapid changes in information technology, ~~and the consequences of these for~~ ~~management and control~~, make it impossible to draw up an all-inclusive list of all situations when providing non-assurance services to an audit client ~~that~~

might create threats to independence and of the different safeguards that might eliminate these threats or reduce them to an acceptable level.

290.153 ~~The provision of~~ Providing certain non-assurance services to an audit client may create a ~~threats~~ threats to independence so significant that no safeguards s could eliminate the threat or reduce it to an acceptable level. However, the inadvertent ~~the~~ provision of such a service to a related entity, division or in respect of a discrete financial statement item of such clients may not compromise independence provided if any threats ~~to the firm's independence~~ that are not clearly insignificant have been reduced to an acceptable level by arrangements for that related entity, division or discrete financial statement item to be audited by another firm or when another firm re-performs the non-assurance service to the extent necessary to enable it to take responsibility for that service.

290.154 A firm may be able to provide certain non-assurance services to related entities of the audit client if the firm is able to reasonably conclude that the results of the services will not be subject to audit procedures and consequently ~~does~~ not create a self-review threat. This would be the case if the firm provides certain non-assurance services to:

- (a) an entity, ~~which that~~ is not an audit client, ~~which that~~ has direct or indirect control over the audit client; or
- (b) an entity, ~~which that~~ is not an audit client, ~~which that~~ is under common control with the audit client.

290.155 A non-assurance service provided to an audit client will not compromise the firm's independence when the client becomes an entity of significant public interest provided if:

- (a) The previous non-assurance service ~~was provided in accordance~~ complies with the provisions of this section that relate to audit clients that are not entities of significant public interest;
- (b) If the services are not permitted ~~impermissible~~ under this section for audit clients that are entities of significant public interest, ~~the~~ service will be terminated before or as soon as practicable after the client becomes an entity of significant public interest; and
- (c) The firm implements appropriate safeguards to eliminate or reduce to an acceptable level any threats to independence arising from the service that are ~~other than~~ not clearly insignificant.

Management Responsibilities

290.156 Management of an entity performs many functions in ~~order to carry out its responsibility to manage~~ the entity in the best interests of stakeholders of the entity. It is not possible to specify every function ~~which that~~ is a management responsibility. However, management functions involve leading and directing an entity including making significant decisions regarding the acquisition, deployment and control of human, financial, physical and intangible resources.

290.157 ~~The determination of W~~Whether an activity is a management function ~~will~~ depends on the circumstances and requires the exercise of judgment. Examples of activities that would generally be considered management functions include:

- Setting policies and strategic direction;
- Authorizing transactions;
- Deciding which recommendations of the firm or other third parties should be implemented;
- Taking responsibility for the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in accordance with the applicable financial reporting framework; and
- Taking responsibility for designing, implementing and maintaining internal control.

290.158 Performing management functions for an audit client creates threats to independence. For example, deciding which recommendations of the firm should be implemented will create self-review and self-interest threats. Further, performing management functions creates a familiarity threat because the firm becomes too closely aligned with the views and interests of management. If a firm performs management functions for an audit client, ~~no safeguards could reduce the threats created could not be reduced to an acceptable level by any safeguard~~. Accordingly, ~~a firm that provides in providing~~ professional services to an audit client, ~~a firm~~ should not perform management functions.

290.159 Some activities may not be management functions because they are routine and administrative, involve matters that are insignificant or do not otherwise represent a management responsibility. For example, executing an insignificant transaction that has been authorized by management or monitoring the dates for filing statutory returns and advising an audit client of ~~such forthcoming those~~ dates would not be considered management functions. Further, providing advice and recommendations to assist management in performing its functions or providing elements of a client's internal training program would not be considered a management function.

~~290.160 In order to~~ to avoid the risk of performing management functions when providing non-assurance services to an audit client, the firm should be satisfied that a member of management ~~of the client~~ with a sufficient level of understanding of the service, and an ability to evaluate the results has been designated to make all significant judgments and decisions connected with ~~the performance of the services,~~ and to accept responsibility for the ~~actions to be taken arising from the~~ results of the service ~~received~~. This reduces the risk of the firm inadvertently making any significant judgment or decision ~~on behalf of management~~. The risk is further reduced when the firm gives the client the opportunity to make judgments and decisions based on ~~the basis of~~ an objective and transparent analysis and presentation of the issues.

Preparing Accounting Records and Financial Statements

~~290.161~~ Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in accordance with the applicable financial reporting framework. To discharge this responsibility, management responsibilities include:

- Determining or changing journal entries, or the account classifications of transactions; and
- Preparing or changing source documents or originating data, in electronic or other form, evidencing the occurrence of a transaction (for example, purchase orders, payroll time records, and customer orders).

~~290.161~~~~290.162~~ ~~Assisting an audit client in matters~~ Providing an audit client with accounting and bookkeeping services such as preparing accounting records or financial statements may create a self-review threat when the firm subsequently audits the financial statements ~~are subsequently audited by the firm~~.

~~290.162~~~~290.163~~ The audit process, ~~however, involves~~ involves necessitates extensive dialogue between the firm and management of the audit client. Management may request and receive technical assistance and advice from members of the audit team regarding such matters as (a) implementation of new accounting standards or policies and financial statement disclosure requirements, or (b) the appropriateness of financial and accounting controls and the methods used in determining the stated amounts of assets and liabilities. Assistance and advice of this nature promotes the fair presentation of the client's financial statements and accordingly, does not generally threaten the firm's independence.

~~290.163~~~~290.164~~ Similarly, the client may request the firm ~~may be requested~~ to assist ~~the client~~ in (a) resolving account reconciliation problems, (b) analyzing and accumulating information for regulatory reporting, (c) converting financial statements from one financial reporting framework to another, (for example, to

comply with group accounting policies or to transition to a different financial reporting framework such as International Financial Reporting Standards), or (d) drafting disclosure items and proposing adjusting journal entries. These activities are considered to be a normal part of the audit process and do not, under normally generally circumstances, threaten independence.

~~290.164 Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in accordance with the applicable financial reporting framework. To discharge this responsibility, management is responsible for:~~

- ~~• Determining or changing journal entries, or the account classifications of transactions; and~~
- ~~• Preparing or changing source documents or originating data, in electronic or other form, evidencing the occurrence of a transaction (for example, purchase orders, payroll time records, and customer orders).~~

Audit Clients that are Not Entities of Significant Public Interest

290.165 The firm may provide services related to the preparation of accounting records and financial statements for an audit client that is not an entity of significant public interest where the services are of a routine or mechanical nature, provided so long as any self-review threat created is reduced to an acceptable level. Examples of such services include:

- Providing payroll services based on client-originated data;
- Recording transactions for which the client has determined or approved the appropriate account classification;
- Posting transactions coded by the client to the client's general ledger;
- Posting client-approved entries to the trial balance; and
- Preparing financial statements based on information in the trial balance.

In all cases the significance of any threat created should be evaluated and, if the threat is other than clearly insignificant, safeguards should be considered and applied as necessary to eliminate the threat or reduce it to an acceptable level. Such safeguards might include:

- Making arrangements so Arranging ~~that for~~ such services to be ~~are not~~ performed by an individual who is not ~~by~~ a member of the audit team; or
- If such services are performed by a member of the audit team, using a partner or senior staff member with appropriate expertise who is not a member of the audit team to conduct an ~~and~~ additional review of the work performed.

Audit Clients that are Entities of Significant Public Interest

290.166 Except in emergency situations, a firm should not provide to an audit client that is an entity of significant public interest accounting and bookkeeping services, including payroll services, or prepare financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion or financial information which forms the basis of the financial statements.

290.167 ~~Notwithstanding-Despite~~ paragraph 290.166, a firm may provide accounting and bookkeeping services, including payroll services and the preparation of financial statements, of a routine or mechanical nature for related entities of an audit client that is of significant public interest ~~provided-if~~ the personnel providing the services are not members of the audit team and:

- the related entities for which the service is provided are collectively immaterial to the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion; or
- the services ~~provided~~ relate to matters that are collectively immaterial to the financial statements of the related entity.

Emergency Situations

290.168 ~~The provision of A~~ accounting and bookkeeping services, ~~that would otherwise not be permitted under this section including payroll services and the preparation of financial statements,~~ may be provided to audit clients ~~may be provided~~ in emergency or other unusual situations, when it is impractical for the audit client to make other arrangements ~~such as where only the firm has the resources and necessary knowledge of the client's systems and procedures to assist the client in the timely preparation of its accounting records and financial statements and where a restriction on the firm's ability to provide the services would result in significant difficulties for the client (for example, as might result from a failure to meet regulatory reporting requirements).~~ In such situations, a firm may provide such services, ~~provided-if~~:

- (a) ~~Personnel providing~~ Those who provide the services are not members of the audit team; ~~and~~
- (b) ~~The emergency situation arose as a result of unforeseeable events; and~~
- (c) ~~(b)~~ The services are provided for only a short period of time and are not expected to recur.

Valuation Services

290.169 A valuation comprises the making of assumptions with regard to future developments, the application of ~~certain-appropriate~~ methodologies and techniques, and the combination of both ~~in-order~~ to compute a certain value, or range of values, for an asset, a liability or for a business as a whole.

290.170 Performing valuation services for an audit client may create a self-review threat. The significance of the threat will depend on factors such as:

- (a) The extent to which the valuation will have a material effect on the financial statements.
- (b) The extent of the client's involvement in determining and approving the valuation methodology and other significant matters of judgment.
- (c) The availability of established methodologies and professional guidelines.
- (d) For valuations involving standard or established methodologies, the degree of subjectivity inherent in the item.
- (e) The reliability and extent of the underlying data.
- (f) The degree of dependence on future events of a nature that could create significant volatility inherent in the amounts involved.
- (g) The extent and clarity of the disclosures in the financial statements.

The significance of the threat should be evaluated and, if the threat is not clearly insignificant, safeguards should be considered and applied as necessary to eliminate the threat or reduce it to an acceptable level. Such safeguards might include:

- Having an additional professional accountant review the work or otherwise advise as necessary; or
- Making arrangements so that personnel providing such services do not participate in the audit engagement.

~~290.170~~290.171 ~~If a firm provides a valuation service to an audit client a self-review threat may be created.~~ If the valuation service has a material effect on the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion and the valuation involves a significant degree of subjectivity, **no safeguard could reduce** the self-review threat ~~created could not be reduced~~ to an acceptable level ~~by the application of any safeguard~~. Accordingly, **such valuation services should not be provided or, alternatively,** the firm should **either not provide the valuation service or should** withdraw from the audit engagement.

~~290.171~~290.172 Certain valuations do not involve a significant degree of subjectivity. This is likely ~~to be~~ the case where the underlying assumptions are **either** determined by law or regulation, or are widely accepted and when the techniques and methodologies to be used are based on generally accepted standards or prescribed by law or regulation. In such circumstances, the results of a valuation performed by two or more parties are not likely to be materially different.

~~290.172 Performing valuation services for an audit client that are neither separately, nor in the aggregate, material to the financial statements, or that do not involve a significant degree of subjectivity, may create a self review threat. The significance of the threat will depend on factors such as:~~

- ~~(a) The extent of the client's involvement in determining and approving the valuation methodology and other significant matters of judgment.~~
- ~~(b) The degree to which availability of established methodologies and professional guidelines are available when performing a particular valuation service.~~
- ~~(c) For valuations involving standard or established methodologies, the degree of subjectivity inherent in the item concerned.~~
- ~~(d) The reliability and extent of the underlying data.~~
- ~~(e) The degree of dependence on future events of a nature which that could create significant volatility inherent in the amounts involved.~~
- ~~(f) The extent and clarity of the disclosures in the financial statements.~~

~~The significance of the threat should be evaluated and, if the threat is other than not clearly insignificant, safeguards should be considered and applied as necessary to eliminate the threat or reduce it to an acceptable level. Such safeguards might include:~~

- ~~• Involving Having an additional professional accountant to review the work performed or otherwise advise as necessary; or~~
- ~~• Making arrangements so that personnel providing such services do not participate in the audit engagement.~~

Audit Clients that are Entities of Significant Public Interest

290.173 A firm should not provide valuation services to an audit client that is an entity of significant public interest if the valuations would have a material effect, separately or in the aggregate, on the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion.

Taxation Services

290.174 Taxation services comprise a broad range of services, including:

- Tax return preparation;
- Preparation of tax calculations intended to be used as the basis for the accounting entries in the financial statements;
- Tax planning and other tax advisory services; and
- Assistance in the resolution of tax disputes

While taxation services provided by a firm to an audit client are considered separately under each of these broad headings, in practice these activities are often interrelated.

290.175 ~~The performance of~~Performing certain tax services may create self-review and advocacy threats. The nature and significance of any threats ~~created~~ will vary depending on factors such as (a) the system by which the tax authorities assess and administer the tax in question ~~is assessed and administered by the tax authorities~~, (b) the complexity of the relevant tax regime and the degree of judgment necessary applying it ~~and~~ (c) the particular characteristics of the engagement and (d) the level of tax expertise of the client's employees.

Tax Return Preparation

290.176 Tax return preparation services involve assisting clients with their tax reporting obligations by drafting and completing information, including the amount of tax due, (usually on standardized forms), required to be submitted to the applicable tax authorities. Such services also include advising on the tax return treatment of past transactions and responding on behalf of the audit client to the tax authorities' requests for further information and analysis (including providing explanations of and technical support for the approach being taken). Tax return preparation services are generally based upon historical information and principally involve analysis and presentation of such historical information based upon the constraints of ~~under~~ existing tax law, including precedents and established practice. Further, the tax returns are subject to whatever review or approval process the tax authority considers appropriate. Accordingly, the provision of ~~providing~~ such services does not generally threaten the firm's independence so long as management takes responsibility for the returns including any significant judgments made.

Preparation of Tax Calculations ~~Intended to be Used as the Basis for the Accounting Entries in the Financial Statements~~

290.177 Preparing calculations of current and deferred tax liabilities (or assets) for an audit client for the purpose of ~~intended to be used by the client as the basis for preparing the preparation of~~ accounting entries which that will be subsequently audited by the firm may create a self-review threat. The significance of the threat created will depend on the degree of subjectivity involved in the calculations and their materiality to the financial statements. If the self-review threat created is other than ~~not~~ clearly insignificant safeguards should be considered and applied as necessary to eliminate the threat or reduce it to an acceptable level. Such safeguards might include:

- Using professionals who are not members of the audit team to perform the service; or

- If the service is performed by a member of the audit team, using a partner or senior staff member with appropriate expertise who is not a member of the audit team to review the tax calculations ~~prepared by the audit team~~.

Audit Clients that are Entities of Significant Public Interest

290.178 In the case of an audit client that is an entity of significant public interest, a firm should not prepare tax calculations of current and deferred tax liabilities (or assets) the primary intention for the primary purpose of which will be for the preparation of accounting entries that are material to the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion.

Tax Planning and Other Tax Advisory Services

290.179 Tax planning or other tax advisory services comprise a broad range of services such as advising ~~as to how~~ the client might how to structure its affairs in a tax efficient manner or advising on the application of a new tax law or regulation.

290.180 A self-review threat may be created where the advice will affect matters ~~that will to~~ be reflected in the financial statements. The significance of any threat ~~created~~ will depend upon factors such as:

- The degree of subjectivity involved in determining the appropriate treatment for the tax advice in the financial statements;
- The extent to which the outcome of the tax advice will have a material effect on the financial statements;
- The level of tax expertise of the client's employees;
- The extent to which the advice is supported by tax law or regulations, other precedent or established practice;
- Whether the tax treatment is supported by a private ruling or has otherwise been cleared by the tax authority ~~prior to before~~ the preparation of the financial statements; and
- Whether the effectiveness of the tax advice depends on the accounting treatment or presentation in the financial statements and there is doubt as to the appropriateness of the accounting treatment or presentation under the relevant financial reporting framework.

For example, ~~the provision of~~ tax planning and other tax advisory services where the advice is clearly supported by tax authority or other precedent, by established practice or has a basis in tax law that is ~~probable and~~ likely to prevail does not generally threaten the firm's independence.

290.181 The significance of any threat ~~created~~ should be evaluated and if the threat is ~~other than not~~ clearly insignificant, safeguards should be considered and

applied as necessary to eliminate the threat or reduce it to an acceptable level. Such safeguards might include:

- Using professionals who are not members of the audit team to perform the service;
- ~~Involving~~ Having an additional tax partner or senior tax employee, not involved in the provision of tax services ~~to the client, to provide advice~~ to the audit team on the service and ~~to~~ review the financial statement treatment; or
- Obtaining advice on the service from an external tax professional.

290.182 Where the effectiveness of the tax advice depends on a particular accounting treatment or presentation in the financial statements and:

- (a) ~~T~~here is reasonable doubt as to the appropriateness of the related accounting treatment or presentation under the relevant financial reporting framework; and
- (b) ~~T~~he outcome or consequences of the tax advice will have a material effect on the financial statements;

the self-review threat would be so significant that no safeguards ~~could~~ reduce the threat to an acceptable level in which case the ~~service tax advice~~ should not be provided. ~~or, alternatively,~~ The only other course of action would be to withdraw from the audit engagement.

Assistance in the Resolution of Tax Disputes

290.183 ~~While~~ ~~Although~~ representing an audit client before the tax authorities in an administrative matter would not generally create a threat to independence, An advocacy threat may be created when the firm acts ~~ing~~ for an audit client involving the resolution of a tax ~~matter~~ dispute once the tax authorities have made it known that they have rejected the audit client's arguments on a particular issue and are referring the matter for determination in a formal proceeding, for example before a tribunal or court. ~~The~~ significance of the threat will depend upon factors such as:

- Whether the firm has provided the advice which is the subject of the tax dispute;
- The extent to which the outcome of the dispute will have a material effect on the financial statements on which the firm will express and opinion;
- The extent to which the matter is supported by tax law or regulations, other precedent, or established practice;
- Whether the proceedings are conducted in public; and

- The role management plays in the resolution of the dispute.

The significance of any threat should be evaluated and if the threat is not clearly insignificant, safeguards should be considered and applied as necessary to eliminate the threat or reduce it to an acceptable level. Such safeguards might include:

- Using professionals who are not members of the audit team to perform the service;
- Having an additional tax partner or senior tax employee who is not involved in the provision of the tax services to the client advise the audit team on the services and review the financial statement treatment; by representing the client before a public tribunal or court may create an advocacy threat. What constitutes a “public tribunal or court” should be determined according to how tax proceedings are heard in the particular jurisdiction, or
- Obtaining advice on the service from an external tax professional.

290.184 Where the taxation services involve acting as an advocate for an audit client before a public tribunal or court in the resolution of a tax matter and the amounts involved are material to the financial statements, the advocacy threat is considered so significant that no safeguard could eliminate or reduce the threat to an acceptable level. What constitutes a “public tribunal or court” should be determined according to how tax proceedings are heard in the particular jurisdiction. Therefore, the firm should not perform this type of service for an audit client.

290.185 The firm is not, however, precluded from having a continuing advisory role (for example, responding to specific requests for information, providing factual accounts or testimony about the work performed or assisting the client in analyzing the tax issues) for the audit client in relation to the matter ~~which that~~ is being heard before a public tribunal or court.

~~290.185 Where a firm is asked to act in an advocacy role before a public tribunal or court for an audit client in the resolution of a tax matter in circumstances where the amounts involved are not material to the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion, the significance of any threats should be evaluated and, if the threat is other than clearly insignificant, safeguards should be applied as necessary to eliminate the threat or reduce it to an acceptable level. Such safeguards might include:~~

- ~~• Using professionals who are not members of the audit team to perform the service;~~

- ~~Involving an additional tax partner or senior tax employee, not involved in the provision of tax services to the client, to provide advice to the audit team on the service and to review the financial statement treatment.~~

Internal Audit Services

~~290.187~~290.186 A self-review threat may be created when a firm provides internal audit services to an audit client. Internal audit services may comprise (a) an extension of the firm's audit service beyond requirements of generally accepted auditing standards, (b) assistance in ~~the performance of~~ a client's internal audit activities or (c) outsourcing of the activities. In evaluating any threats to independence, the nature of the service will need to be considered. For this purpose, internal audit services do not include operational internal audit services unrelated to the internal accounting controls, financial systems or financial statements.

~~290.188~~290.187 Services involving an extension of the procedures required to conduct an audit in accordance with International Standards on Auditing would not be considered to compromise independence with respect to the audit client ~~provided that if~~ the firm's personnel do not perform management functions.

~~290.189~~290.188 When the firm provides assistance in the performance of an audit client's internal audit activities or undertakes the outsourcing of some of the activities, any self-review threat ~~created~~ may be reduced to an acceptable level by ensuring ~~that~~ there is a clear separation between the management and control of the internal audit by client management and the internal audit activities themselves.

~~290.190~~290.189 Performing a significant portion of an audit client's internal audit activities may create a self-review threat. ~~And a~~ firm should consider the threats and proceed with caution ~~before taking on such activities~~. Appropriate safeguards should be put in place and the firm should, in particular, ensure that the audit client acknowledges its responsibilities for establishing, maintaining and monitoring the system of internal controls.

~~290.191~~290.190 A firm should not provide any internal audit services to an audit client unless:

- (a) The client is responsible for internal audit activities and acknowledges its responsibility for establishing, maintaining and monitoring the system of internal controls;
- (b) The client designates a competent employee, preferably within senior management, to be responsible for internal audit activities;

- (c) The client or those charged with governance approves the scope, risk and frequency of internal audit work;
- (d) The client is responsible for evaluating and determining which recommendations of the firm ~~should be~~ implemented;
- (e) The client evaluates the adequacy of the internal audit procedures ~~performed~~ and the findings resulting from their performance ~~of those procedures~~ by, among other things, obtaining and acting on reports from the firm; and
- (f) The findings and recommendations resulting from the internal audit activities are reported appropriately to those charged with governance.

~~290.192~~290.191 Consideration should also be given to whether such non-assurance services should be provided only by personnel who are not members of the audit team and who have different reporting lines within the firm.

IT Systems Services

~~290.193~~290.192 Services related to information technology (IT) systems include the design or implementation of hardware or software systems. The systems may aggregate source data or generate information that affects the accounting records or financial statements or the systems may be unrelated to the audit client's accounting records or financial statements. ~~The provision~~Providing of IT systems services ~~by a firm to an audit client~~ may create a self-review threat depending on the nature of the services and the IT systems.

~~290.194~~290.193 Certain IT systems services are not considered to create a threat to independence ~~provided if~~ firm personnel do not perform management functions. Such services include the following:

- Design or implementation of IT systems that are unrelated to or do not form a significant part of the accounting records or financial statements;
- Implementation of “off-the-shelf” accounting or financial information reporting software that was not developed by the firm ~~provided if~~ the customization required to meet the client's needs is not significant; and
- Evaluating and making recommendations with respect to a system designed, implemented or operated by another service provider or the client.

Audit Clients that are Not Entities of Significant Public Interest

~~290.195~~290.194 ~~The provision of~~Providing services ~~by a firm~~ to an audit client that is not an entity of significant public interest involving the design or implementation of IT systems that (a) form a significant part of the accounting systems or (b) generate information that is significant to the client's financial

statements on which the firm will express an opinion may create a self-review threat.

~~290.196~~290.195 The self-review threat is likely to be too significant to ~~allow the provision of~~ ~~permit~~ such services ~~to an audit client~~ unless appropriate safeguards are put in place ensuring that:

- (a) The client acknowledges its responsibility for establishing and monitoring a system of internal controls;
- (b) The client ~~designates a competent employee, preferably within senior management, with~~ ~~assigns~~ the responsibility to make all management decisions with respect to the design and implementation of the hardware or software system ~~to a competent employee, preferably within senior management~~;
- (c) The client makes all management decisions with respect to the design and implementation process;
- (d) The client evaluates the adequacy and results of the design and implementation of the system; and
- (e) The client is responsible for ~~the operation of~~ the system (hardware or software) and the data ~~it uses~~ ~~or generated by the system~~.

~~290.197~~290.196 Consideration should also be given to whether, depending upon the degree of reliance which will be placed on the particular IT systems as part of the audit, such non-assurance services should be provided only by personnel who are not members of the audit team ~~and~~ who have different reporting lines within the firm. ~~The significance of any remaining threat should be evaluated and if it is not clearly insignificant, safeguards should be considered and applied, as necessary, to eliminate the threat or reduce it to an acceptable level. Such safeguards might include having an additional professional accountant review the work or otherwise advise as necessary.~~

Audit Clients that are Entities of Significant Public Interest

~~290.198~~290.197 In the case of an audit client that is an entity of significant public interest, a firm should not provide services involving the design or implementation of IT systems that form a significant part of the accounting systems or generate information that is significant to the client's financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion.

Litigation Support Services

~~290.199~~290.198 Litigation support services may include activities such as acting as an expert witness, calculating estimated damages or other amounts that might become receivable or payable as the result of litigation or other legal dispute,

and assistance with document management and retrieval ~~in relation to a dispute or litigation. Litigation support. These services provided to an audit client~~ may create a self-review or advocacy threat.

~~290.200~~290.199 If the firm provides a litigation support service to an audit client and the service involves estimating damages or other amounts that affect the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion a self-review threat may be created the valuation service provisions included in paragraphs 290.171 – 290.175 should be followed. If the estimated damages or other amounts are material to the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion and the estimates involve a significant degree of subjectivity, the self-review threat created could not be reduced to an acceptable level by the application of any safeguard. Accordingly, such services should not be provided or, alternatively, the firm should withdraw from the audit engagement.

290.200 Certain litigation support services involving the estimation of damages or other amounts do not involve a significant degree of subjectivity. This is likely to be the case where the underlying assumptions are determined by law or regulation or widely accepted and when the techniques and methodologies to be used are based on generally accepted standards or prescribed by law or regulation. In such circumstances, the results of the valuation performed by two or more parties are not likely to be materially different.

290.201 If the litigation support services involve estimating damages or other amounts that affect the financial statements for an audit client that are neither separately, nor in the aggregate, material to the financial statements, or that do not involve a significant degree of subjectivity, may create a self-review threat. The significance of the threat will depend on factors such as:

- The extent of the client's knowledge, experience and ability to evaluate the issues concerned, and the extent of their involvement in determining and approving significant matters of judgment;
- The degree to which established methodologies and professional guidelines are available when performing the service;
- For estimates involving standard or established methodologies, the degree of subjectivity inherent in the item concerned;
- The reliability and extent of the underlying data;
- The degree of dependence on future events of a nature which could create significant volatility inherent in the amounts involved; and
- The extent and clarity of the disclosures in the financial statements.

~~The significance of the threat should be evaluated and, if the threat is other than clearly insignificant, safeguards should be considered and applied as necessary to eliminate the threat or reduce it to an acceptable level. Such safeguards might include:~~

- ~~• Involving an additional professional accountant to review the work performed or otherwise advise as necessary;~~
- ~~• Confirming with the client their understanding of the underlying assumptions of the estimate and the methodology to be used and obtaining approval for their use; or~~
- ~~• Making arrangements so that personnel providing such services are not members of the audit team.~~

~~290.203~~290.200 If the litigation support services relate to activities other than estimating damages or other amounts the significance of any threat created should be evaluated and, if the threat is ~~other than~~not clearly insignificant, safeguards should be considered and applied as necessary to eliminate the threat or reduce it to an acceptable level.

Audit Clients that are Entities of Significant Public Interest

~~290.203~~A firm should not provide a litigation support service to an audit client that is an entity of significant public interest if the service involves estimating damages or other amounts that have a material effect on the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion.

Legal Services

~~290.205~~290.201 Legal services are defined as any services for which the person providing the services must either be admitted to practice law before the Courts of the jurisdiction in which such services are to be provided, or have the required legal training to practice law. Legal services encompass a wide and diversified range of areas including both corporate and commercial services to clients, such as contract support, litigation, mergers and acquisition advice and support and ~~the provision of~~ assistance to clients' internal legal departments. ~~The provision of~~Providing legal services ~~by a firm~~ to an entity that is an audit client may create both self-review and advocacy threats.

~~290.206~~290.202 Legal services ~~to that~~ support an audit client in ~~the execution of~~ a transaction (e.g., contract support, legal advice, legal due diligence and restructuring) may create self-review threats. The significance of the threat will depend on factors such as:

- The nature of the service ~~to be provided~~;
- Whether the service is provided by a member of the audit team; and

- The materiality of any matter in relation to the client's financial statements.

The significance of the threat should be evaluated and, if the threat is ~~other than not~~ clearly insignificant, safeguards should be considered and applied as necessary to eliminate the threat or reduce it to an acceptable level. Such safeguards might include:

- Using professionals who are not members of the audit team to perform the service; or
- ~~Involving Having~~ an additional partner or senior employee, not involved in ~~the provision of providing the~~ legal services ~~to the client, to~~ provide advice to the audit team on the service and review ~~the any~~ financial statement treatment, ~~if any~~.

~~290.207~~290.203 Acting for an audit client in ~~resolving the resolution of~~ a dispute or litigation ~~in such circumstances~~ when the amounts involved are material in relation to the financial statements of the client would create advocacy and self-review threats so significant no safeguard could reduce the threat to an acceptable level. Therefore, the firm should not perform this type of service for an audit client.

~~290.208~~290.204 When a firm is asked to act in an advocacy role for an audit client in ~~the resolution of resolving~~ a dispute or litigation ~~in circumstances~~ when the amounts involved are not material to the financial statements of the client, the firm should evaluate the significance of any advocacy and self-review threats ~~created~~ and, if they ~~are not~~ ~~threat is other than~~ clearly insignificant, safeguards should be considered and applied as necessary to eliminate the threat or reduce it to an acceptable level. Such safeguards might include

- Using professionals who are not members of the audit team to perform the service; or
- ~~Involving Having~~ an additional partner or senior employee, not involved in ~~the provision of providing the~~ legal services ~~to the client, to~~ provide advice to the audit team on the service and review ~~the any~~ financial statement treatment, ~~if any~~.

~~290.209~~290.205 The appointment of a partner or an employee of the firm as General Counsel for legal affairs ~~to of~~ an audit client would create self-review and advocacy threats that are so significant ~~that~~ no safeguards could reduce the threats to an acceptable level. The position of General Counsel is generally a senior management position with broad responsibility for the legal affairs of a company and consequently, no member of the firm should accept such an appointment for an audit client.

Recruiting Senior Management

~~290.210~~290.206 ~~The recruitment of~~ **Recruiting** senior management for an audit client, such as those in a position to exert significant influence over the preparation of the financial statements, may create self-interest, familiarity ~~and—or~~ intimidation threats. The significance of the threat will depend upon factors such as:

- The role of the person to be recruited; and
- The nature of the **requested** assistance ~~sought~~.

The firm could generally provide such services as reviewing the professional qualifications of a number of applicants and provide advice on their suitability for the post. In addition, ~~upon request of the client, the firm may interview candidates and advise on a candidate's competence for financial accounting, administrative or control positions~~ the firm could generally produce a short list of candidates for interview, provided ~~if it has been drawn up~~ **prepared** using criteria specified by the audit client.

The significance of the threat created should be evaluated and, if the threat is ~~other than~~ **not** clearly insignificant, safeguards should be considered and applied as necessary to eliminate the threat or reduce it to an acceptable level. In all cases, the firm should not undertake management functions and the **hiring** decision ~~as to whom to hire~~ should be left to the client.

Audit Clients that are Entities of Significant Public Interest

~~290.211~~290.207 ~~A~~ **In the case of an audit client that is an entity of significant public interest**, a firm should not provide recruiting services ~~for an audit client that is an entity of significant public interest~~ with respect to a director or officer of the client or senior management in a position to exert significant influence over the preparation of the accounting records or the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion. Such services would include:

- Searching for or seeking out candidates for such positions;
- Undertaking references checks of prospective candidates for such positions; and
- Acting as a negotiator or mediator on the client's behalf with respect to such positions.

~~Upon request of the client, t~~ **he** firm may, however, ~~upon request of the audit client, interview candidates for such positions and advise the client on the candidate's competence for financial accounting, administrative or control positions. produce a short-list of candidates for the client to interview, if the list has been prepared using criteria specified by the client.~~

Corporate Finance Services

~~290.212~~290.208 ~~The provision of~~Providing corporate finance services to an audit client may create advocacy ~~and or~~ self-review threats.

~~290.213~~290.209 Assisting an audit client in developing corporate strategies, assisting in identifying or introducing a client to possible sources of capital that meet the client specifications or criteria and providing structuring advice may create advocacy and self-review threats. The significance of the threat should be evaluated and, if the threat is ~~other than~~not clearly insignificant, safeguards should be considered and applied a necessary to eliminate the threat or reduce it to an acceptable level. Such safeguards might include:

- Using professionals who are not members of the audit team to provide the services; or
- ~~Involving~~Having an additional partner or senior employee, ~~who is~~ not involved in the provision of corporate finance services to the client, ~~to provide advice to the audit team on the service~~ and review accounting treatment and ~~any~~ financial statement presentation, ~~if any~~.

~~290.214~~290.210 ~~A self review threat may be created where~~Providing a corporate finance service, for example advice ~~as to~~on the structuring of a corporate finance transaction or on financing arrangements, ~~which that~~ will directly effect amounts that will be reported in the financial statements on which the firm will provide an opinion ~~may create a self-review threat~~. The significance of any threat ~~created~~ will depend upon factors such as:

- The degree of subjectivity involved in determining the appropriate treatment for the outcome or consequences of the corporate finance advice in the financial statements;
- The extent to which the outcome of the corporate finance advice will directly affect amounts recorded in the financial statements and the extent to which the amounts are material to the financial statements; and
- Whether the effectiveness of the corporate finance advice depends on a particular accounting treatment or presentation in the financial statements and there is doubt as to the appropriateness of the related accounting treatment or presentation under the relevant financial reporting framework.

~~290.215~~290.211 The significance of any threat ~~created~~ should be evaluated and if the threat is ~~other than~~not clearly insignificant, safeguards should be considered and applied as necessary to eliminate the threat or reduce it to an acceptable level. Such safeguards might include:

- Using professionals who are not members of the audit team to perform the service; or

- ~~Involving~~ Having an additional ~~corporate finance~~ partner or senior employee, who is not involved in the provision of corporate finance services to the client, ~~to~~ provide advice to the audit team on the service, and ~~to~~ review the financial statement treatment.

~~290.216~~290.212 ~~The provision of~~Providing corporate finance services involving promoting, dealing in, or underwriting an audit client's shares would create an advocacy or self-review threat that is so significant that no safeguards could reduce the threat to an acceptable level. Accordingly, a firm should not provide such services to an audit client.

~~290.217~~290.213 Where the effectiveness of ~~the~~ corporate finance advice depends on a particular accounting treatment or presentation in the financial statements and:

- (a) There is reasonable doubt as to the appropriateness of the related accounting treatment or presentation under the relevant financial reporting framework; and
- (b) The outcome or consequences of the corporate finance advice will have a material effect on the financial statements;

the self-review threat would be so significant that no safeguards could reduce the threat to an acceptable level in which case the corporate finance advice service should not be provided, ~~or, alternatively,~~ the only other course of action would be to withdraw from the audit engagement.

Fees

Fees—Relative Size

~~290.218~~290.214 When the total fees generated by an ~~from~~ an audit client represent a large proportion of a firm's total fees, the dependence on that client or client group and concern about the possibility of losing the client may create a self-interest threat. The significance of the threat will depend upon factors such as:

- The structure of the firm; and
- Whether the firm is well established or newly created.

The significance of the threat should be evaluated and, if the threat is either ~~than~~ not clearly insignificant, safeguards should be considered and applied as necessary to eliminate the threat or reduce it to an acceptable level. Such safeguards might include:

- Taking steps to reduce dependency on the client;
- External quality control reviews; or
- Consulting a third party, such as a professional regulatory body or another professional accountant on key audit judgments.

~~290.219~~290.215 A self-interest threat may also be created when the fees generated from an audit client represent a large proportion of the revenue from an individual partner's clients. The significance of the threat should be evaluated and, if the threat is ~~other than~~not clearly insignificant, safeguards should be considered and applied as necessary to eliminate the threat or reduce it to an acceptable level. Such safeguards might include ~~involving~~having an additional professional accountant who was not a member of the audit team ~~to~~review the work ~~performed~~ or otherwise advise as necessary.

Fees—Overdue

~~290.220~~290.216 A self-interest threat may be created if fees due from an audit client ~~for professional services~~ remain unpaid for a long time, especially if a significant part is not paid before the issue of the audit report for the following year. Generally the ~~firm should require~~ payment of such fees ~~should be required~~ before the ~~audit~~ report is issued. If the fee remains unpaid after the report has been issued, the significance of the threat should be evaluated. ~~If and,~~ if the threat is ~~other than~~not clearly insignificant, safeguards should be considered and applied as necessary to eliminate the threat or reduce it to an acceptable level. ~~Such safeguards might include having,~~ ~~for example by~~ involving an additional professional accountant who did not take part in the audit engagement ~~to~~ provide advice or review the work performed. The firm should also consider whether the overdue fees might be regarded as being equivalent to a loan to the client and whether, because of the significance of the overdue fees, it is appropriate for the firm to be re-appointed.

Contingent Fees

~~290.221~~290.217 Contingent fees are fees calculated on a predetermined basis relating to the outcome or result of a transaction or the result of the work ~~performed~~. For the purposes of this section, fees are not regarded as being contingent if a court or other public authority has established them.

~~290.222~~290.218 A contingent fee charged by a firm in respect of an audit engagement creates self-interest and advocacy threats that cannot be reduced to an acceptable level by ~~applying the application of~~ any safeguard. Accordingly, a firm should not enter into any such fee arrangement.

290.219 A contingent fee charged by a firm in respect of a non-assurance service provided to an audit client may also create self-interest and advocacy threats. If the amount of the fee for a non-assurance engagement ~~was agreed to, or contemplated, during an audit engagement and~~ was contingent on the result of the audit engagement, ~~the threats could not be~~ ~~no safeguards could~~ reduced the ~~threats~~ to an acceptable level ~~by the application of any safeguard~~. Accordingly, such arrangements should not be accepted.

290.220 For other types of contingent fee arrangements ~~for a non-assurance service~~, the significance of the threats ~~created~~ will depend on factors such as:

- The range of possible fee amounts;
- The degree of variability;
- The basis ~~on which~~ for determining the fee ~~is to be determined~~;
- Whether an independent third party will review the outcome or result of the transaction ~~is to be reviewed by an independent third party~~; and
- The effect of the event or transaction on the ~~audit engagement~~ financial statements.

The significance of the threats should be evaluated and, if the threats are ~~other than~~ not clearly insignificant, safeguards should be considered and applied as necessary to eliminate the threat or reduce them to an acceptable level. Such safeguards might include:

- Review or determination of the final fee by an unrelated third party; or
- Quality and control policies and procedures for the non-assurance service.

Compensation and Evaluation Policies

290.224290.221 The basis on which a partner is evaluated and compensated may create a self-interest threat to independence particularly when the partner is evaluated on or compensated for selling non-assurance services to his or her audit clients. Accordingly, a key audit partner should not be evaluated on or compensated based on that partner's success in selling non-assurance services to the audit client. This is not intended to prohibit normal profit-sharing arrangements between partners of a firm.

290.225290.222 ~~Compensating and/or~~ evaluating other members of the audit team for selling non-assurance services to an audit client may create a self-interest threat. The significance of the threat will depend upon the proportion of the individual's compensation or performance evaluation ~~which that~~ is based on the sale of ~~non-assurance~~ such services ~~to the audit client~~. The significance of the threat should be evaluated and, if the threat is ~~other than~~ not clearly insignificant the firm should either revise the compensation or evaluation plan for that individual or apply other safeguards to eliminate the threat or reduce it to an acceptable level. Such safeguards might include:

- ~~Involving~~ Having an additional professional accountant who was not a member of the audit team ~~to review the work performed~~; or
- Removing ~~the individual~~ such members from the audit team.

Gifts and Hospitality

~~290.222~~290.223 Accepting gifts or hospitality from an audit client may create self-interest and familiarity threats. When a firm or a member of the audit team accepts gifts or hospitality, unless the value is clearly insignificant, no safeguards could reduce the such threats ~~to independence cannot be reduced to~~ an acceptable level ~~by the application of any safeguard~~. Consequently, a firm or a member of the audit team should not accept such gifts or hospitality.

Actual or Threatened Litigation

~~290.227~~290.224 When litigation takes place, or appears likely, between the firm or a member of the audit team and the audit client, a self-interest or intimidation threat may be created. The relationship between client management and the members of the audit team must be characterized by complete candor and full disclosure regarding all aspects of a client's business operations. The firm and the client's management may be placed in adversarial positions by litigation, affecting management's willingness to make complete disclosures and the firm may face a self-interest threat. The significance of the threat created will depend upon such factors as:

- The materiality of the litigation; and
- Whether the litigation relates to a prior audit engagement.

The significance of the threat should be evaluated and, if the threat is ~~either than~~not clearly insignificant, safeguards should be considered and applied to eliminate the threat or reduce it to an acceptable level. Such safeguards might include:

- (a) If the litigation involves a member of the audit team, removing that individual from the audit team; or
- (b) ~~Involving~~ Having an additional professional accountant in the firm who was not a member of the audit team ~~to review the work performed or~~ otherwise advise as necessary.

If such safeguards do not reduce the threat to an appropriate level, the only appropriate action is to withdraw from, or refuse to accept, the audit engagement.

Paragraphs 290.225 to 290.299 are left intentionally blank for future use.

Restricted Use Reports

Introduction

290.300 An audit report may be expressly restricted for use by only the intended users specified in the report. In such engagements, the users of the report may be knowledgeable as to the purpose, subject matter information and limitations of the report through their participation in establishing the nature and scope of the firm's instructions to deliver the services, including the criteria against which the financial statements or other historical financial information are to be evaluated or measured. This enhances the ability of the firm to communicate about independence matters, including the circumstances that are relevant to the evaluation of the threats to independence and the applicable safeguards necessary to eliminate the threats or reduce them to an acceptable level.

290.301 In such circumstances, certain modifications to the requirements of Section 290 may be appropriate, as set out in paragraphs 290.304 to 290.312 below. Compliance in all other respects with the provisions of this Section is required. Such modifications should not, however, be applied to the following audit engagements:

- (a) An audit of a complete set of general purpose financial statements;
- (b) An audit of historical financial information required by law of regulation;
or
- (c) An audit of a complete set of financial statements prepared in accordance with a financial reporting framework designed for a general purpose, but not designed to achieve fair presentation (for example, relating to an insurance company regulatory filing requirements that may be available for general use).

290.302 The modifications to the requirements of Section 290 described in paragraphs 290.304 to 290.312 may be applied to an audit engagement described in paragraph 290.300 if the criteria set out in paragraph 290.303 are met. However, if a restricted use engagement is performed for a client for which the firm also performs an audit engagement where the audit report is not restricted for use the provisions of paragraphs 290.300 to 290.312 do not override the provisions of paragraphs 290.1 to 290.228 which apply to the unrestricted audit engagement.

290.303 The modifications described in paragraphs 290.304 to 290.312 require the express agreement of the intended users. The firm should communicate (for example in the engagement letter) with the intended users, directly or through a representative who has the authority to act for the intended users, regarding the independence requirements that are to be applied with respect to the provision of the assurance engagement. Where the intended users are a class of users

(e.g. lenders in a syndicated loan arrangement) who are not specifically identifiable by name at the time the engagement terms are established, such users should subsequently be made aware of the independence requirements that have been complied with, as agreed with their representative (for example though the representative making the firm's engagement letter available to all users).

Entities of Significant Public Interest

290.304 The additional requirements in paragraphs 290.100 to 290.224 that apply to audit engagements for entities of significant public interest need not be applied to an audit engagement when the audit report is restricted use. Furthermore, reference to an entity of significant public interest does not include its related entities. When the audit team knows or has reason to believe that a related entity of the client is relevant to the evaluation of the firm's independence of the client, the audit team should consider that related entity when evaluating threats to independence and applying appropriate safeguards.

Networks and Network Firms

290.305 For the purposes of applying the provisions of Section 290 to an audit engagement when the audit report is restricted use, reference to the firm does not include reference to network firms. However, where the firm has reason to believe that threats may be created by any interests and relationships of network firms, they should be considered in the evaluation of threats to independence.

Financial interest, loans and guarantees, close business relationships and family and personal relationships

290.306 In the case of an audit engagement when the audit report is restricted for use, the relevant provisions set out in paragraphs 290.101 to 290.141 apply to all members of the engagement team their immediate family members and close family members.

290.307 In addition, consideration should be given, by reference to provisions set out in paragraphs 290.101 to 290.141, to whether threats to independence are created by interests and relationships between the audit client and the following members of the audit teams:

- (a) Those who provide consultation regarding technical or industry specific issues, transactions or events; and
- (b) Those who provide quality control for the engagement, including those who perform the engagement quality control review.

Consideration should also be given, by reference to those provisions, to any threats that the engagement team has reason to believe may be created by interests and relationships between the audit client and others within the firm who can directly influence the outcome of the audit engagement including those who recommend the compensation of, or who provide direct supervisory, management or other oversight of the audit engagement partner in connection with the performance of the audit engagement (including those at all successively senior levels above the engagement partner through to the firm's Senior or Managing Partner, Chief Executive or similar position).

290.308 Consideration should also be given, by reference to the provisions for individuals described in paragraphs 290.107 to 290.110 and paragraphs 290.112 to 290.113, to any threats that the engagement team has reason to believe may be created by financial interests held by such individuals in the audit client.

290.309 Where a threat to independence is identified, that is not clearly insignificant, safeguards should be considered and applied as necessary to eliminate the threat or reduce it to an acceptable level.

290.310 In applying the provisions set out in paragraphs 290.105 and 290.112 to interests of the firm, if the firm had a material financial interest, whether direct or indirect, in the audit client, the self-interest threat created would be so significant no safeguard could reduce the threat to an acceptable level. Accordingly, the firm should not have such a financial interest.

Employment with an Audit Client

290.311 Consideration of any threats to independence arising from the employment by an audit client of a former member of the audit team or partner of the firm should have regard to the provisions of paragraphs 290.131 to 290.134. Where a threat exists that is not clearly insignificant, safeguards should be applied as necessary to eliminate the threat or reduce it to an acceptable level. Appropriate safeguards may include those set out in paragraph 290.132.

Provision of Non-Assurance Services to Audit Clients

290.312 If the firm provides a non-assurance services to an audit client the provisions of paragraphs 290.151 to 290.213 should be complied with, subject to paragraphs 290.304 and 290.305.

DEFINITIONS

In this Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants the following expressions have the following meanings assigned to them:

- Advertising** The communication to the public of information as to the services or skills provided by professional accountants in public practice with a view to procuring professional business.
- Assurance client** The responsible party that is the person (or persons) who:
- (a) In a direct reporting engagement, is responsible for the subject matter; or
- ~~(a)~~(b) In an assertion-based engagement, is responsible for the subject matter information and may be responsible for the subject matter.
- Assurance engagement** An engagement in which a professional accountant in public practice expresses a conclusion designed to enhance the degree of confidence of the intended users other than the responsible party about the outcome of the evaluation or measurement of a subject matter against criteria.
- (For guidance on assurance engagements see the International Framework for Assurance Engagements issued by the International Auditing and Assurance Standards Board which describes the elements and objectives of an assurance engagement and identifies engagements to which International Standards on Auditing (ISAs), International Standards on Review Engagements (ISREs) and International Standards on Assurance Engagements (ISAEs) apply.)
- Assurance team** (a) All members of the engagement team for the assurance engagement;
- (b) All others within a firm who can directly influence the outcome of the assurance engagement, including:
- those who recommend the compensation of, or who provide direct supervisory, management or other oversight of the assurance engagement partner in connection with the performance of the assurance engagement;
 - those who provide consultation regarding technical or industry specific issues, transactions or events for the assurance engagement; and

- those who provide quality control for the assurance engagement, including those who perform the engagement quality control review for the assurance engagement.

Audit client	An entity in respect of which a firm conducts an audit engagement. When the client is a listed entity, audit client will always include its related entities.
Audit engagement	A reasonable assurance engagement in which a professional accountant in public practice expresses an opinion whether historical financial information is prepared in all material respects in accordance with an identified financial reporting framework, such as an engagement conducted in accordance with International Standards on Auditing. This includes a Statutory Audit, which is an audit required by legislation or other regulation.
Audit team	<p>(a) All members of the engagement team for the audit engagement; and</p> <p>(b) All others within a firm who can directly influence the outcome of the audit engagement, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) Those who recommend the compensation of, or who provide direct supervisory, management or other oversight of the engagement partner in connection with the performance of the audit engagement including those at all successively senior levels above the engagement partner through to the firm's <u>Senior or Managing Partner (Chief Executive or similar position)</u>; (ii) Those who provide consultation regarding technical or industry-specific issues, transactions or events for the engagement; and (iii) Those who provide quality control for the engagement, including those who perform the engagement quality control review for the engagement; and <p>(c) All those within a network firm who can directly influence the outcome of the audit engagement.</p>
Clearly insignificant	A matter that is deemed to be both trivial and inconsequential.
Close family	A parent, child or sibling, who is not an immediate family member.

Contingent fee	A fee calculated on a predetermined basis relating to the outcome or result of a transaction or the result of the work performed. A fee that is established by a court or other public authority is not a contingent fee.
Direct financial interest	A financial interest: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Owned directly by and under the control of an individual or entity (including those managed on a discretionary basis by others); or Beneficially owned through a collective investment vehicle, estate, trust or other intermediary over which the individual or entity has control.
Engagement partner	The partner or other person in the firm who is responsible for the engagement and its performance, and for the report that is issued on behalf of the firm, and who, where required, has the appropriate authority from a professional, legal or regulatory body.
Engagement quality control review	A process designed to provide an objective evaluation, before the report is issued, of the significant judgments the engagement team made and the conclusions they reached in formulating the report.
Engagement team	<u>All personnel partners and staff performing an the engagement, and any individuals contracted by the firm who provide services on the engagement that might otherwise be provided by a partner or staff of regardless of their legal relationship with the firm.</u>
Existing accountant	A professional accountant in public practice currently holding an audit appointment or carrying out accounting, taxation, consulting or similar professional services for a client.
Financial interest	An interest in an equity or other security, debenture, loan or other debt instrument of an entity, including rights and obligations to acquire such an interest and derivatives directly related to such interest.
<u>Financial statements</u>	<u>A structured representation of historical financial information, which ordinarily includes explanatory notes, intended to communicate an entity's economic resources or obligations at a point in time or the changes therein for a period of time in accordance with a financial reporting framework. The term can relate to a complete set of financial statements, but it can also refer to a single financial statement, for example, a balance sheet, or a statement of revenues</u>

and expenses, and related explanatory notes.

- Firm
- (a) A sole practitioner, partnership or corporation of professional accountants;
 - (b) An entity that controls such parties; and
 - (c) An entity controlled by such parties.

Historical financial information Information expressed in financial terms in relation to a particular entity, derived primarily from that entity's accounting system, about economic events occurring in past time periods or about economic conditions or circumstances at points in time in the past.

Immediate family A spouse (or equivalent) or dependant.

Independence Independence is:

- (a) Independence of mind – the state of mind that permits the expression of a conclusion without being affected by influences that compromise professional judgment, thereby allowing an individual to act with integrity, and exercise objectivity and professional skepticism
- (b) Independence in appearance – the avoidance of facts and circumstances that are so significant that a reasonable and informed third party would be likely to conclude, weighing all the specific facts and circumstances, that a firm's, or a member of the audit team's, integrity, objectivity or professional skepticism has been compromised.

Indirect financial interest A financial interest beneficially owned through a collective investment vehicle, estate, trust or other intermediary over which the individual or entity has no control.

Key audit partner The engagement partner, the individual responsible for the engagement quality control review, and other audit partners on the engagement team, such as lead partners on significant subsidiaries or divisions, who are responsible for key decisions or judgments on significant matters with respect to the audit of the financial statements on which the firm will express an opinion.

Listed entity An entity whose shares, stock or debt are quoted or listed on a recognized stock exchange, or are marketed under the regulations of a recognized stock exchange or other equivalent body.

Network A larger structure:

	(a) That is aimed at co-operation; and
	(b) That is clearly aimed at profit or cost sharing or shares common ownership, control or management, common quality control policies and procedures, common business strategy, the use of a common brand-name, or a significant part of professional resources.
Network firm	A firm or entity that belongs to a network.
Office	A distinct sub-group, whether organized on geographical or practice lines.
Professional accountant	An individual who is a member of an IFAC member body.
Professional accountant in business	A professional accountant employed or engaged in an executive or non-executive capacity in such areas as commerce, industry, service, the public sector, education, the not for profit sector, regulatory bodies or professional bodies, or a professional accountant contracted by such entities.
Professional accountant in public practice	A professional accountant, irrespective of functional classification (e.g., audit, tax or consulting) in a firm that provides professional services. This term is also used to refer to a firm of professional accountants in public practice.
Professional services	Services requiring accountancy or related skills performed by a professional accountant including accounting, auditing, taxation, management consulting and financial management services.
Related entity	An entity that has any of the following relationships with the client: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) An entity that has direct or indirect control over the client provided-if the client is material to such entity; (b) An entity with a direct financial interest in the client provided-if that such entity has significant influence over the client and the interest in the client is material to such entity; (c) An entity over which the client has direct or indirect control; (d) An entity in which the client, or an entity related to the client under (c) above, has a direct financial interest that gives it significant influence over such entity and the interest is material

to the client and its related entity in (c); and

- (e) An entity which is under common control with the client (~~hereinafter~~ a “sister entity”) ~~provided if~~ the sister entity and the client are both material to the entity that controls both the client and sister entity.

Review client	An entity in respect of which a firm conducts a review engagement.
Review engagement	An assurance engagement in which a professional accountant in public practice expressed a conclusion on whether, on the basis of the procedures which do not provide all the evidence that would be required in an audit, anything has come to the accountant’s attention that causes the accountant to believe that the historical financial information is not prepared in all material respects, in accordance with an applicable financial reporting framework, which is an engagement conducted in accordance with International Standards on Review Engagements or equivalent.
Review team	<p>(a) All members of the engagement team for the review engagement; and</p> <p>(b) All others within a firm who can directly influence the outcome of the review engagement, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) Those who recommend the compensation of, or who provide direct supervisory, management or other oversight of the engagement partner in connection with the performance of the review engagement including those at all successively senior levels above the engagement partner through to the firm’s chief executive; (ii) Those who provide consultation regarding technical or industry specific issues, transactions or events for the engagement; and (iii) Those who provide quality control for the engagement, including those who perform the engagement quality control review for the engagement; and <p>(c) All those within a network firm who can directly influence the outcome of the review engagement.</p>
Those charged with governance	The persons with responsibility for overseeing the strategic direction of the entity and obligations related to the accountability of the

entity. This includes overseeing the financial reporting process.