

IESBA Post-Implementation Review (PIR) Survey

Responding to Non-Compliance with Laws and Regulations (NOCLAR®)

Introduction

This survey is only applicable to respondents that have adopted or use or benefit from the [Responding to Non-Compliance with Laws and Regulations \(NOCLAR\)](#) provisions in the [International Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants \(including International Independence Standards\)](#) (the Code). Sections 5260 and 5360 as contained in the [International Ethics Standards for Sustainability Assurance \(including International Independence Standards\)](#) (IESSA), and conforming amendments to Sections 260 and 360 arising from the development of the IESSA, are outside the scope of this survey and only become effective in December 2026.

1. The International Ethics Standards Board for Accountants (IESBA) is conducting a post-implementation review (PIR) of the NOCLAR provisions in Sections 260 and 360 of the Code.
2. This survey seeks to obtain input from a broad range of stakeholders to enable the IESBA to determine:
 - (a) Whether the NOCLAR provisions have achieved their intended purpose by identifying:
 - (i) Benefits from applying the provisions; and
 - (ii) Any practical challenges or questions regarding their implementation, including whether they are being consistently understood and applied; and
 - (b) What actions, if any, are needed by the IESBA to address identified matters.
3. The responses received will be analyzed for purposes of providing feedback to the IESBA and in developing recommendations for any actions.
4. Recognizing that some respondents may wish to discuss certain NOCLAR or NOCLAR-related matters on a confidential basis instead of documenting them in writing when responding to this survey, respondents are welcome to reach out to the IESBA Project Team (email jeanneviljoen@ethicsboard.org and kamleung@ethicsboard.org) to discuss such matters confidentially. In such circumstances, respondents are requested to discuss the matters on an anonymized basis.
5. The survey comment period starts on April 1 and ends on July 30.

Survey Responses to be Made Public

6. Unless confidentiality is specifically requested, respondents' submissions will be considered a matter of public record and will be posted to the IESBA's website. Where confidentiality is requested, respondents are nonetheless requested to provide any detailed input on an anonymized basis.
7. Some questions ask for details, examples or sharing of relevant reports or materials. Respondents are requested to provide such information on an anonymized basis.

Survey Instructions

8. Respondents to the survey are asked to provide their responses only on the questions applicable to

the stakeholder group to which they belong:

- [Part A](#) — Demographic Information (All Respondents)
 - [Part B](#) — Jurisdictional Standard Setters (JSS)
 - [Part C](#) — Professional Accountancy Organizations (PAOs)
 - [Part D](#) — Regulators or Oversight Bodies
 - [Part E](#) — Accounting Firms or Individual Professional Accountants in Public Practice (PAPPs)
 - [Part F](#) — Professional Accountants in Business (PAIBs)
 - [Part G](#) — Other Users or Beneficiaries of the Code
9. Respondents may respond to all questions or only selected questions or matters. All responses to this survey, whether complete or partial, will be accepted and considered as input for the IESBA Project Team's analysis.

Overview and Key Intended Outcomes of the IESBA NOCLAR Provisions

10. The IESBA NOCLAR provisions establish a response framework to guide auditors, other PAPPs, and PAIBs in deciding how best to act in the public interest when they become aware of NOCLAR or suspected NOCLAR.
11. NOCLAR is any act of omission or commission, intentional or unintentional, committed by a client or employer, or by those charged with governance (TCWG), by management or by other individuals working for or under the direction of a client or employer which is contrary to the prevailing laws or regulations.
12. For a more detailed overview of the NOCLAR provisions of the Code, please access the following link [here](#).
13. Key intended outcomes of the NOCLAR provisions in the public interest include:
- Ensuring that PAs respond in a timely way to identified or suspected NOCLAR.
 - Rectifying, remediating, or mitigating the adverse consequences of identified or suspected NOCLAR to stakeholders and the general public.
 - Deterring the commission of NOCLAR.
 - Stimulating increased reporting of identified or suspected NOCLAR where required by law or regulation, or where determined appropriate under the Code in the public interest.

Part A – Demographic Information (All Respondents)

1. Please indicate if you are responding as an individual or on behalf of your organization?
- (a) If you are responding as an individual:
- (i) Please indicate your jurisdiction
- (ii) Approximate years of professional experience:
- Less than 5 years

- 5–10 years
 - 11–20 years
 - More than 20 years
- (b) If you are responding on behalf of your organization, please indicate the jurisdictional profile which best represents your situation, i.e., from which jurisdictional perspective are you providing your responses?
- Global
 - Regional (*Please specify*)
 - Multiple jurisdictions (*Please specify*)
 - Single jurisdiction (*Please specify*)
2. Please indicate the stakeholder category to which you belong, i.e., from which perspective are you providing your responses? *If you or your organization has one or more roles (e.g., JSS and Regulator), please respond to all relevant parts of the survey.*
- JSS (**Complete Part B**) (*Please indicate the users of your standards as a JSS, e.g., individual PAPPs, professional accountants in business (PAIBs) and/or accounting firms (including audit firms)*)
 - PAOs (**Complete Part C**) (*Please indicate whether your members are PAPPs and/or PAIBs*)
 - Regulators or oversight bodies (**Complete Part D**) (*Please indicate which of the following you regulate or oversee (select all that apply): PAPPs (including auditors), PAIBs, accounting firms (including audit firms), none of the above*)
 - Accounting firms and individual PAPPs (**Complete Part E**)
 - PAIBs (**Complete Part F**)
 - Other users or beneficiaries of the Code (*Please specify*) (e.g., investors or other users of financial statements, those charged with governance, academics) (**Complete Part G**)
3. Please provide the following information (*Personal information will not be made public and will only be used by the Project Team to follow up if clarification on your responses is needed*):
- Your organization's name, if any (if you are responding on behalf of an organization¹)
 - Your name and job title / role
 - Your email address
 - Your jurisdiction

[SAMA RESPONSE] Part A - Demographic Information

Responding on behalf of: Saudi Central Bank (SAMA)

¹ If you respond on behalf of a global organization, the jurisdiction (or name of the country) in which you work. If you are responding on behalf of a global organization, please indicate "Global" as your jurisdiction.

Jurisdictional profile: Single jurisdiction - Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

Stakeholder category: Regulators or oversight bodies (Complete Part D)

Regulated entities: PAPPs (including auditors) and accounting firms

Organization name: Saudi Central Bank (SAMA)

Name & role: Abdullah Aldhahri, NBFIs Supervision & Inspection General Department

Email: fcsdepartment@sama.gov.sa

Jurisdiction: Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

Part B – Jurisdictional Standard Setters (JSS)

Adoption status and effective date

Note:

Adoption (full or with modifications) refers to the formal acceptance and incorporation of the IESBA standards into a jurisdiction's national laws, regulations or professional requirements.

Convergence is where a jurisdiction aligns its national ethics (including independence) standards or provisions with the IESBA standards through a process that seeks to eliminate or minimize differences between the IESBA and national standards or provisions. This includes a process where an IESBA standard is used as a basis to develop the local standard or provisions.

4. Has your organization adopted the NOCLAR provisions of the Code (IESBA NOCLAR provisions)? *(Please choose the most representative answer)*
 - (a) Yes, through full adoption with no modifications *(Please provide (i) information on the effective date, and (ii) links to the local NOCLAR provisions or the specific announcement of the adoption or issuance of the local NOCLAR provisions)*
 - (b) Yes, through adoption with modifications *(Please (i) describe the nature of, and reasons for, the modifications, (ii) provide information on the effective date, and (iii) provide links to the local NOCLAR provisions or the specific announcement of the adoption or issuance of the local NOCLAR provisions)*
 - (c) No, but the local NOCLAR provisions are converged with the IESBA NOCLAR provisions *(Please (i) provide information on the effective date, (ii) provide links to the local NOCLAR provisions or the specific announcement of the issuance of the local NOCLAR provisions, and (iii) describe any significant differences between the local NOCLAR provisions and the IESBA NOCLAR provisions)*
 - (d) No, there are provisions in relevant law or regulation or a different ethical framework that address NOCLAR *(Please describe any significant differences between the relevant law or regulation or ethical framework and the IESBA NOCLAR provisions)*
 - (e) Not adopted *(Please specify reasons. If there is a plan to adopt the IESBA NOCLAR provisions, please provide details, including timing)*

If you have answered (a), (b) or (c), were the IESBA NOCLAR provisions translated into the local NOCLAR provisions? If yes, please indicate the language of translation.

Guidance and educational materials

5. What guidance or educational materials has your organization issued, or what programs has your organization developed, to support understanding of the local NOCLAR provisions in your jurisdiction? *(Select all that apply and provide links)*
 - Technical guidance (e.g., FAQs)
 - Education/training programs (e.g., webinars, CPD programs)

- Articles, publications or newsletters (e.g., updates about standards, case studies or illustrative examples)
- Other (*Please specify*)
- None

Other support

6. Does your organization provide guidance to individual professional accountants, accounting firms, or other users of your standards in the application of the local NOCLAR provisions if they seek such assistance, e.g., in dealing with a NOCLAR matter? (*Select all that apply*)
- Ethics hotline or equivalent (e.g., for technical queries)
 - Discussion forum (e.g., for sharing knowledge)
 - Whistleblower hotline (e.g., to report actual or suspected NOCLAR)
 - Other (*Please specify*)
 - None

Inquiries and questions

7. Which specific provisions in the local NOCLAR provisions has your organization commonly received inquiries or questions about? (*Please provide details, including from which stakeholder groups the inquiries or questions arose*)

[SAMA RESPONSE] D - Inquiries and Questions

Most common inquiries from audit firms: (1) Threshold for when suspected NOCLAR requires escalation to SAMA; (2) Balancing client confidentiality with regulatory reporting obligations; (3) Applicability where evidence of non-compliance is inconclusive. These arise primarily from PAPPs auditing insurance companies and other non-banking financial institutions.

Level of understanding

8. Do users of your organization's standards have an overall understanding of the local NOCLAR provisions? (*Please explain the basis for your response, including how your organization evaluates the overall understanding of the local NOCLAR provisions by users of your standards*)
- Yes
 - Somewhat
 - No
 - Not known or no data available

[SAMA RESPONSE] D - Level of Understanding

Selected: Somewhat

Larger internationally-affiliated audit firms demonstrate reasonable understanding of NOCLAR-equivalent obligations. Smaller local audit firms show more variable understanding, particularly regarding escalation

pathways and timing of regulatory notifications. Assessment is based on supervisory examination findings and quality of NOCLAR-related disclosures received.

[SAMA RESPONSE] D - Level of Understanding

Selected: Somewhat

Basis for response: SAMA's assessment is based on findings from its supervisory examination activities, including reviews of audit files, meetings with engagement partners, and analysis of auditor reports submitted to SAMA. Larger, internationally-affiliated audit firms generally demonstrate a reasonable understanding of NOCLAR-equivalent obligations. Smaller and medium-sized local audit firms show more variable levels of understanding, particularly regarding the distinction between different escalation pathways and the timing of required notifications to regulatory authorities. SAMA evaluates this understanding through its regular inspection cycles and through the quality of NOCLAR-related disclosures and communications received from audit firms.

Legal protection

9. In your jurisdiction, does legislation or regulation exist (e.g., under whistleblowing laws or regulations) that provides protection from civil, criminal or professional liability, or from retaliation, when NOCLAR or suspected NOCLAR is disclosed to an appropriate authority? Please provide details.

If yes, is the protection under the relevant law or regulation perceived to be effective? Please explain your response.

Observed outcomes (benefits and challenges)

10. Has your organization observed any trend in instances of NOCLAR reported or escalated?

[SAMA RESPONSE] D - Observed Trends in NOCLAR Reporting

Yes. SAMA has observed a gradual increase in proactive communications from audit firms on regulatory non-compliance over 2020-2025, correlating with enhanced supervisory expectations. Common NOCLAR types observed: unauthorized related-party transactions; capital/solvency requirement breaches; investment management irregularities; AML/CTF violations. SAMA does not currently maintain a specific NOCLAR registry for precise quantification.

If so, please describe the trend and indicate the period for which this trend has been observed, as well as the general nature of the NOCLAR commonly observed.

11. Based on your organization's observations, has the application of the local NOCLAR provisions been effective in deterring the commission of NOCLAR, or rectifying, remediating or mitigating the consequences of NOCLAR? *(Please explain the basis for your response and provide any relevant details, including any supporting materials or reports)*

[SAMA RESPONSE] D - Effectiveness of NOCLAR Provisions

Partially effective. NOCLAR provisions have improved awareness among audit firms and quality of communications regarding regulatory issues. Deterrent effect is difficult to assess in isolation. Most significant impact observed is improved auditor-SAMA communication on material findings. Assessment based on: quality of auditor reports; supervisory examination findings; outcomes of supervisory investigations.

12. Has your organization observed any challenges in the application of the local NOCLAR provisions? *(Please provide details, including specific provisions that caused particular challenges and why, as well as any actions taken to address them)*

[SAMA RESPONSE] D - Observed Challenges

Yes. Key challenges observed: (1) Threshold uncertainty - when suspected NOCLAR requires escalation; (2) Confidentiality concerns - fear of client liability without explicit statutory protection; (3) Inconsistent application - larger firms more consistent than smaller; (4) Timing of disclosure to regulatory authorities relative to internal escalation; (5) Insufficient audit file documentation of NOCLAR considerations. Actions taken: clarificatory guidance issued; NOCLAR-related inquiries incorporated into examination programs; bilateral discussions with audit firms on specific cases.

13. Has your organization observed any trends where professional accountants or accounting firms encountered NOCLAR or suspected NOCLAR but did not apply the local NOCLAR provisions in whole or in part?
- Yes, the provisions were not applied in whole *(Please explain why the provisions were not applied (e.g., lack of evidence for suspected NOCLAR, confidentiality issues, etc.))*
 - Yes, the provisions were only partially applied *(Please explain which provisions were not applied and why (e.g., lack of evidence for suspected NOCLAR, confidentiality issues, etc.))*
 - No, not observed

[SAMA RESPONSE] D - Trends in Non-Application of NOCLAR Provisions

Selected: Yes, the provisions were only partially applied

SAMA has observed instances where audit firms identified or suspected NOCLAR but applied the provisions only partially. The most common pattern involves audit firms completing internal escalation procedures but not proceeding to notify SAMA as the appropriate regulatory authority. Contributing factors include: (i) lack of certainty about whether the matter constitutes NOCLAR rather than a mere control deficiency; (ii) concerns about maintaining the client relationship; (iii) uncertainty about legal protection for disclosures made to SAMA; and (iv) insufficient partner-level attention to NOCLAR considerations in the risk assessment phase of the audit.

Other comments

14. From your perspective, what further efforts should IESBA pursue to enhance the effectiveness of implementation of the IESBA NOCLAR provisions globally? *(Please explain your response)*

[SAMA RESPONSE] D - Further Efforts IESBA Should Pursue

SAMA recommends: (1) Advocate for statutory whistleblower protection frameworks in jurisdictions where absent, engaging with governments and legislators; (2) Issue supplementary guidance on interaction between NOCLAR obligations and prudential regulator reporting in regulated financial sectors; (3) Develop sector-specific case studies for regulated financial sectors (insurance, banking, investment management); (4) Enhance outreach to regulators in emerging markets to integrate NOCLAR into national supervisory frameworks; (5) Develop simplified NOCLAR guidance for smaller audit firms; (6) Maintain ongoing dialogue with prudential regulators as key stakeholders in future standard-setting.

15. Do you have any other comments or specific matters the IESBA should consider as part of this PIR

on the IESBA NOCLAR provisions (including on any benefits arising from using the local NOCLAR provisions, or on matters of translation)?

[SAMA RESPONSE] D - Other Comments

SAMA highlights: (1) SAMA supports NOCLAR objectives and views this PIR as a valuable opportunity to strengthen global implementation; (2) The interaction between NOCLAR and multiple regulatory authorities in Saudi Arabia (SAMA, CMA, other sectoral regulators) creates complexity that would benefit from clearer IESBA guidance; (3) NOCLAR effectiveness depends significantly on jurisdiction-level whistleblower protection - IESBA advocacy in this area would be highly valuable for emerging markets; (4) SAMA supports improved translation and accessibility of NOCLAR provisions in Arabic to enable fuller engagement by professional accountants in Arabic-speaking jurisdictions; (5) SAMA encourages IESBA to maintain ongoing dialogue with prudential regulators as a key stakeholder group in future activities related to NOCLAR.

Part C – Professional Accountancy Organizations (PAOs)

Adoption status and effective date

Note:

Adoption (full or with modifications) refers to the formal acceptance and incorporation of the IESBA standards into a jurisdiction's national laws, regulations or professional requirements.

Convergence is where a jurisdiction aligns its national ethics (including independence) standards or provisions with the IESBA standards through a process that seeks to eliminate or minimize differences between the IESBA and national standards or provisions. This includes a process where an IESBA standard is used as a basis to develop the local standard or provisions.

4. Has your organization adopted the NOCLAR provisions of the Code (IESBA NOCLAR provisions)? *(Please choose the most representative answer)*
 - (a) Yes, through full adoption with no modifications *(Please provide (i) information on the effective date and (ii) links to the local NOCLAR provisions or the specific announcement of the adoption or issuance of the local NOCLAR provisions)*
 - (b) Yes, through adoption with modifications *(Please (i) describe the nature of, and reasons for the modifications, (ii) provide information on the effective date, and (iii) provide links to the local NOCLAR provisions or the specific announcement of the adoption or issuance of the local NOCLAR provisions)*
 - (c) No, but the local NOCLAR provisions are converged with the IESBA NOCLAR provisions *(Please (i) provide information on the effective date, (ii) provide links to the local NOCLAR provisions or the specific announcement of the issuance of the local NOCLAR provisions, and (iii) describe any significant differences between the local NOCLAR provisions and the IESBA NOCLAR provisions)*
 - (d) No, there are provisions in relevant law or regulation or a different ethical framework that address NOCLAR *(Please describe any significant differences between the relevant law or regulation or ethical framework and the IESBA NOCLAR provisions)*
 - (e) Not adopted *(Please specify reasons. If there is a plan to adopt the IESBA NOCLAR provisions, please provide details, including timing)*

If you have answered (a), (b) or (c), were the IESBA NOCLAR provisions translated into the local NOCLAR provisions? If yes, please indicate the language of translation.

Guidance and educational materials

5. What guidance or educational materials has your organization issued, or what programs has your organization developed, to support understanding of the local NOCLAR provisions in your jurisdiction? *(Select all that apply and provide links)*
 - Technical guidance (e.g., FAQs)
 - Education/training programs (e.g., webinars, CPD programs)

- Articles, publications or newsletters (e.g., updates about standards, case studies or illustrative examples)
- Other (*Please specify*)
- None

Other support

6. Does your organization provide guidance to individual members and accounting firms in the application of the local NOCLAR provisions if they seek such assistance, e.g., in dealing with a NOCLAR matter? (*Select all that apply*)
- Ethics hotline or equivalent (e.g., for technical queries, application questions on the local NOCLAR provisions)
 - Discussion forum (e.g., for sharing knowledge)
 - Whistleblower hotline (e.g., to report actual or suspected NOCLAR)
 - Other (*Please specify*)
 - None

Inquiries and questions

7. Which specific provisions in the local NOCLAR provisions has your organization commonly received inquiries or questions about? (*Please provide details, including from which stakeholder groups the inquiries or questions arose*)

Level of understanding

8. Do your organization's members have an overall understanding of the local NOCLAR provisions? (*Please explain the basis for your response, including how your organization evaluates the overall understanding of the local NOCLAR provisions by its members*)
- Yes
 - Somewhat
 - No

[SAMA RESPONSE] D - Authority to Investigate

Selected: Yes

(i) Nature of NOCLAR matters reported: SAMA has received reports and disclosures from audit firms relating to: suspected fraudulent financial reporting by supervised entities; material breaches of insurance regulations including misrepresentation of financial position; non-compliance with anti-money laundering and counter-terrorism financing requirements; and other regulatory violations identified during the course of audit engagements.

(ii) Actions taken: Upon receiving reports of NOCLAR or suspected NOCLAR, SAMA undertakes supervisory investigations which may include requiring additional information from the supervised entity and its auditors, conducting targeted on-site inspections, imposing remedial measures or sanctions, and

where appropriate referring matters to other competent authorities including the Public Prosecution. All information is handled on an anonymized basis in this response.

[SAMA RESPONSE] D - Enforcement

Selected: Yes

(i) Enforcement start: SAMA has had supervisory authority over audit firms serving non-banking financial institutions since its establishment as a financial sector regulator. Formal enforcement of NOCLAR-equivalent obligations has been progressively strengthened in alignment with SAMA's broader regulatory framework enhancements.

(ii) Details: SAMA's enforcement activities have addressed instances where audit firms failed to appropriately report material findings to SAMA, including cases involving regulatory non-compliance by supervised entities. Specific disciplinary cases are handled confidentially in accordance with applicable regulations.

(iii) Reports: SAMA publishes periodic financial sector stability reports. Specific enforcement actions related to audit firm conduct are communicated directly to the firms concerned in accordance with applicable regulatory procedures.

- Not known or no data available

Enforcement

9. Does your organization enforce the local NOCLAR provisions?

- Yes (*Please specify (i) when enforcement started, (ii) details such as disciplinary cases against members (the information may be anonymized), and (iii) links to relevant published reports*)
- No (*Please specify (i) why your organization does not enforce the local NOCLAR provisions, (ii) which organization enforces the local NOCLAR provisions, and (iii) whether your organization receives reports from them*)

Appropriate authority

10. Does your organization have the authority to investigate NOCLAR or suspected NOCLAR that is reported to it and take any necessary action?

- Yes (*Please provide details, including the (i) nature of the NOCLAR matters that have been reported to your organization, and the (ii) actions taken (the information may be anonymized)*)
- No

[SAMA RESPONSE] D - Authority to Investigate

Selected: Yes

(i) Nature of NOCLAR matters reported: suspected fraudulent financial reporting; breaches of insurance regulations; non-compliance with AML/CTF requirements; other regulatory violations identified during audit engagements. (ii) Actions taken: supervisory investigations, targeted on-site inspections, remedial measures, sanctions, referrals to Public Prosecution where appropriate. Information anonymized.

Legal protection

11. In your jurisdiction, does legislation or regulation exist (e.g., under whistleblowing laws or regulations) that provides protection from civil, criminal, or professional liability, or from retaliation, when NOCLAR or suspected NOCLAR is disclosed to an appropriate authority? Please provide details.

If yes, is the protection under the relevant law or regulation perceived to be effective? Please explain your response.

Observed outcomes (benefits and challenges)

12. Has your organization observed any trend in instances of NOCLAR reported or escalated?

If so, please describe the trend and indicate the period for which this trend has been observed, as well as the general nature of the NOCLAR commonly observed.

13. Based on your organization's observations, has application of the local NOCLAR provisions been effective in deterring the commission of NOCLAR, or rectifying, remediating or mitigating the consequences of NOCLAR? *(Please explain the basis for your response and provide any relevant details, including any supporting materials or reports)*
14. Has your organization observed any challenges in the application of the local NOCLAR provisions? *(Please provide details, including specific provisions that caused particular challenges and why, as well as any actions taken to address them)*
15. Has your organization observed any trends where members or accounting firms encountered NOCLAR or suspected NOCLAR but did not apply the local NOCLAR provisions in whole or in part?
- Yes, the provisions were not applied in whole *(Please explain why the provisions were not applied (e.g., lack of evidence for suspected NOCLAR, confidentiality issues, etc.)*
 - Yes, the provisions were only partially applied *(Please explain which provisions were not applied, and why (e.g., lack of evidence for suspected NOCLAR, confidentiality issues, etc.)*
 - No, not observed

Other comments

16. From your perspective, what further efforts should IESBA pursue to enhance the effectiveness of implementation of the IESBA NOCLAR provisions globally? *(Please explain your response)*
17. Do you have any other comments or specific matters the IESBA should consider as part of this PIR on the IESBA NOCLAR provisions (including on any benefits arising from using the local NOCLAR provisions, or on matters of translation)?

Part D – Regulators or Oversight Bodies

Adoption status and effective date

Note:

Adoption (full or with modifications) refers to the formal acceptance and incorporation of the IESBA standards into a jurisdiction's national laws, regulations or professional requirements.

Convergence is where a jurisdiction aligns its national ethics (including independence) standards or provisions with the IESBA standards through a process that seeks to eliminate or minimize differences between the IESBA and national standards or provisions. This includes a process where an IESBA standard is used as a basis to develop the local standard or provisions.

4. Has your organization adopted the NOCLAR provisions of the Code (IESBA NOCLAR provisions)?
(Please choose the most representative answer)
 - (a) Yes, with no modifications (Please provide (i) information on the effective date and (ii) links to the local NOCLAR provisions or the specific announcement of the adoption or issuance of the local NOCLAR provisions)
 - (b) Yes, with modifications (Please (i) describe the nature of, and reasons for the modifications, (ii) provide information on the effective date, and (iii) provide links to the local NOCLAR provisions or the specific announcement of the adoption or issuance of the local NOCLAR provisions)
 - (c) No, but the local NOCLAR provisions are converged with the IESBA NOCLAR provisions (Please (i) provide information on the effective date, (ii) provide links to the local NOCLAR provisions or the specific announcement of the issuance of the local NOCLAR provisions, and (iii) describe any significant differences between the local NOCLAR provisions and the IESBA NOCLAR provisions)
 - (d) No, there are provisions in relevant law or regulation or a different ethical framework that address NOCLAR (Please describe any significant differences between the relevant law or regulation or ethical framework and the IESBA NOCLAR provisions)
 - (e) Not adopted (Please specify reasons. If there is a plan to adopt the IESBA NOCLAR provisions, please provide details including timing)

[SAMA RESPONSE] D - Adoption Status

Selected: (c) No, but the local NOCLAR provisions are converged with the IESBA NOCLAR provisions

Effective date: Convergence has been progressively implemented through SAMA regulatory frameworks for audit firms serving non-banking financial institutions.

Significant differences: Local provisions place additional emphasis on direct reporting obligations to SAMA as prudential regulator, operating in parallel with the IESBA NOCLAR framework.

Translation: NOCLAR-equivalent requirements are embedded in Arabic-language regulatory circulars issued by SAMA. No standalone Arabic translation of the IESBA NOCLAR provisions has been formally issued.

If you have answered (a), (b) or (c), were the IESBA NOCLAR provisions translated into the local

NOCLAR provisions? If yes, please indicate the language of translation.

Guidance and educational materials

5. What guidance or educational materials has your organization issued, or what programs has your organization developed, to support understanding of the local NOCLAR provisions in your jurisdiction? *(Select all that apply and provide links)*
- Technical guidance (e.g., FAQs)
 - Education/training programs (e.g., webinars, CPD programs)
 - Articles, publications or newsletters (e.g., updates about standards, case studies or illustrative examples)
 - Other *(Please specify)*
 - None

Other support

6. Does your organization provide guidance to individual professional accountants or accounting firms in the application of the local NOCLAR provisions if they seek such assistance, e.g., in dealing with a NOCLAR matter? *(Select all that apply)*
- Ethics hotline or equivalent(e.g., for technical queries, application questions on the local NOCLAR provisions)
 - Discussion forum (e.g., for sharing knowledge)
 - Whistleblower hotline (e.g., to report actual or suspected NOCLAR)
 - Other *(Please specify)*
 - None

Inquiries and questions

7. Which specific provisions in the local NOCLAR provisions has your organization commonly received inquiries or questions about? *(Please provide details including which stakeholder groups the inquires or questions arose)*

Level of understanding

8. Do the professional accountants or accounting firms that your organization regulates or oversees have an overall understanding of the local NOCLAR provisions? *(Please explain the basis for your response, including how your organization evaluates the overall understanding of the local NOCLAR provisions by professional accountants or accounting firms you regulate or oversee)*
- Yes
 - Somewhat
 - No

- Not known or no data available

Enforcement

9. Does your organization enforce the local NOCLAR provisions?

- Yes (*Please specify (i) when enforcement started, provide (ii) details such as disciplinary cases against professional accountants/accounting firms (information may be anonymized if not public), and (iii) links to relevant published reports*)
- No (*Please specify (i) why your organization does not enforce the local NOCLAR provisions, (ii) which organization enforces the local NOCLAR provisions, and (ii) whether your organization receives reports from them*)

Authority to investigate

10. Does your organization have the authority to investigate NOCLAR or suspected NOCLAR that is reported to it and take any necessary action?

- Yes (*Please provide details, including the (i) nature of the NOCLAR matters that have been reported to your organization, and the (ii) actions taken (the information may be anonymized if not public)*)
- No

Legal protection

11. In your jurisdiction, does legislation or regulation exist (e.g., under whistleblowing laws or regulations) that provides protection from civil, criminal, or professional liability, or from retaliation, when NOCLAR or suspected NOCLAR is disclosed to an appropriate authority? Please provide details.

If yes, is the protection under the relevant law and regulation perceived to be effective? Please explain your response.

[SAMA RESPONSE] D - Legal Protection

No comprehensive standalone whistleblower protection legislation exists in Saudi Arabia. However, SAMA's supervisory regulations provide implicit protection for audit firms reporting regulatory violations in good faith. Professional liability protections are embedded in SOCPA's professional conduct framework.

Effectiveness: Only partially effective. Absence of explicit statutory protection creates uncertainty, particularly regarding civil liability from clients. This contributes to underreporting of suspected NOCLAR where evidence is not conclusive. SAMA supports development of more explicit statutory protections.

Observed outcomes (benefits and challenges)

12. Has your organization observed any trend in instances of NOCLAR reported or escalated?

If so, please describe the trend and indicate the period for which this trend has been observed, as well as the general nature of the NOCLAR commonly observed.

13. Based on your organization's observations, has the application of the local NOCLAR provisions been effective in deterring the commission of NOCLAR, or rectifying, remediating or mitigating the

consequences of NOCLAR? *(Please explain the basis for your response and provide any relevant details including any supporting materials or reports)*

14. Has your organization observed any challenges in the application of the local NOCLAR provisions? *(Please provide details, including specific provisions that caused particular challenges and why, as well as any action taken to address them)*
15. Has your organization observed any trends where professional accountants or accounting firms your organization oversees encountered NOCLAR or suspected NOCLAR but did not apply the local NOCLAR provisions in whole or in part?
 - Yes, the provisions were not applied in whole *(Please explain why the provisions were not applied (e.g., lack of evidence for suspected NOCLAR, confidentiality issues, etc.)*
 - Yes, the provisions were only partially applied *(Please explain which provisions were not applied, and why (e.g., lack of evidence for suspected NOCLAR, confidentiality issues, etc.)*
 - No, not observed.

Other comments

16. From your perspective, what further efforts should IESBA pursue to enhance the effectiveness of implementation of the IESBA NOCLAR provisions globally? *(Please explain your response)*
17. Do you have any other comments or specific matters the IESBA should consider as part of this PIR on the IESBA NOCLAR provisions (including on any benefits arising from using the local NOCLAR provisions, or on matters of translation)?

Part E – Accounting Firms or individual PAPPs

Adoption status and effective date

Note:

Adoption (full or with modifications) refers to the formal acceptance and incorporation of the IESBA standards into a jurisdiction's national laws, regulations or professional requirements or, if your firm belongs to a network, your network's policies and methodologies.

Convergence is where a jurisdiction aligns its national ethics (including independence) standards with the IESBA standards through a process that seeks to eliminate or minimize differences between the IESBA and national standards or provisions. This includes a process where an IESBA standard is used as a basis to develop the local standards or provisions.

4. Has your firm implemented global, regional or local policies and methodologies that adopt the NOCLAR provisions of the Code (IESBA NOCLAR provisions) or the locally adopted version of the IESBA NOCLAR provisions, as applicable? *(Please choose the most representative answer)*
 - (a) Yes, through full adoption with no modifications *(Please provide (i) information on the effective date and (ii) details of your firm's NOCLAR policies and methodologies)*
 - (b) Yes, through adoption with modifications *(Please (i) describe the nature of, and reasons for the modifications, (ii) provide information on the effective date and (iii) provide details of your firm's NOCLAR policies and methodologies)*
 - (c) No, our firm's policies and methodologies implement local NOCLAR provisions that are converged with the IESBA NOCLAR provisions *(Please (i) provide information on the effective date, (ii) provide details of your firm's NOCLAR policies and methodologies, and (iii) describe any significant differences between your firm's NOCLAR policies and methodologies and the IESBA NOCLAR provisions)*
 - (d) No, our firm's policies and methodologies are based on law or regulation or a different ethical framework that address NOCLAR *(Please describe any significant differences between your firm's NOCLAR policies and methodologies and the IESBA NOCLAR provisions)*
 - (e) Not adopted *(Please specify reasons. If there is a plan to adopt the IESBA or local NOCLAR provisions, please provide details, including timing)*

If you have answered (a), (b) or (c), were the IESBA NOCLAR provisions translated into the local NOCLAR provisions? If yes, please indicate the language of translation.

5. This question is for global networks.

Where an individual firm is part of a global network and the network has adopted the IESBA NOCLAR provisions, how are these provisions implemented across network firms in the following situations:

- The jurisdiction in which the individual firm is based has not adopted the IESBA NOCLAR provisions *(Please describe the circumstances and how this interacts with the network policies)*

- The jurisdiction in which the individual firm is based has provisions in relevant law or regulation or another ethical framework that address NOCLAR *(Please describe the circumstances and how this interacts with the network policies)*

Guidance and Educational Materials

6. What guidance or educational materials has your firm or network issued, or what programs has your firm or network developed, to support understanding of the NOCLAR policies and methodologies of the firm or network? *(Select all that apply and provide links)*

- Technical guidance (e.g., FAQs)
- Education/training programs (e.g., webinars)
- Articles, publications or newsletters (e.g., updates about standards, case studies or illustrative examples)
- Other *(Please specify)*
- None

Other support

7. If your firm is part of a network, does the network provide guidance to network firms in the application of the network's NOCLAR policies and methodologies? *(Select all that apply)*

- Ethics hotline or equivalent(e.g., for technical queries, application questions on the network's NOCLAR policies and methodologies)
- Discussion forum (e.g., knowledge sharing)
- Other *(Please specify)*
- None

Level of understanding by auditors

8. Do audit partners and staff within your firm have an overall understanding of your firm's NOCLAR policies and methodologies? *(Please explain the basis for your response, including how your organization evaluates the overall understanding of the local NOCLAR provisions by its audit personnel)*

- Yes
- Somewhat
- No
- Not known or no data available

Level of understanding by non-auditors (i.e., consulting/advisory)

9. Do non-audit partners and staff within your firm have an overall understanding of your firm's NOCLAR policies and methodologies? *(Please explain the basis for your response, including how your organization evaluates the overall understanding of the local NOCLAR provisions by its non-audit)*

personnel)

- Yes
- Somewhat
- No
- Not known or no data available

Practical experience (benefits and challenges)

10. Have you or your firm encountered any NOCLAR or suspected NOCLAR (i) at a client, or (ii) within the firm?
- Yes, *(Where possible, please provide details such as (i) general nature of the NOCLAR or suspected NOCLAR, (ii) whether the client was an audit or non-audit client, (iii) to whom it was communicated, and (iv) how the matter has been addressed (information provided may be anonymized))*
 - No
11. Have you or your firm observed any trend in instances of NOCLAR reported or escalated?
- If so, please describe the trend and indicate the period for which this trend has been observed, as well as the general nature of the NOCLAR commonly observed.*
12. What benefits have you or your firm experienced as a result of the implementation of its NOCLAR policies and methodologies? *(Please explain the basis for your response, e.g., do partners and staff feel better equipped to hold a conversation on a NOCLAR matter with their client; the standard helpful in guiding partners and staff as to how to respond to a NOCLAR or suspected NOCLAR matter?)*
13. Which aspects of the IESBA NOCLAR provisions or the local NOCLAR provisions has your firm found most challenging to apply when responding to a NOCLAR or suspected NOCLAR? *(Please provide details, including whether the challenges were for (i) audit partners and staff, and (ii) non-audit partners and staff)*
14. Has your firm's whistleblowing policy been used by personnel within the firm to respond to a NOCLAR or suspected NOCLAR? If so, why was this used instead of escalating the matter to a supervisor or above? *(Please provide details (information provided may be anonymized))*

Legal protection

15. In your jurisdiction, does legislation or regulation exist (e.g., under whistleblowing laws or regulations) that provides protection from civil, criminal, or professional liability, or from retaliation, when NOCLAR or suspected NOCLAR is disclosed to an appropriate authority? Please provide details.
- If yes, is the protection under the relevant law and regulation perceived to be effective? *(Please explain your response)*

Other comments

16. From your perspective, what further efforts should IESBA pursue to enhance the effectiveness of implementation of the IESBA NOCLAR provisions globally? *(Please explain your response)*

17. Do you have any other comments or specific matters the IESBA should consider as part of this PIR on the IESBA NOCLAR provisions (including on matters of translation)?

Part F – Professional Accountants in Business (PAIBs)

This section refers to the “local NOCLAR provisions” issued by your professional accountancy organization, a regulator or the local standard setter responsible for promulgating ethics standards for PAIBs, where those local provisions are based on the NOCLAR provisions in the IESBA Code.

Guidance and educational materials

4. What guidance or educational materials have you received or accessed on the local NOCLAR provisions? *(Select all that apply and provide links)*
 - Technical guidance (e.g., FAQs)
 - Education/training programs (e.g., webinars, CPD programs)
 - Articles, publications or newsletters (e.g., updates about standards, case studies or illustrative examples)
 - Other *(Please specify)*
 - None
5. From which organizations have you received guidance or educational materials on the local NOCLAR provisions? *(Select all that apply)*
 - Professional Accounting Organization (PAO)
 - Regulator or oversight body
 - Accounting firms
 - Other
 - None

Other support

6. Do you have access to guidance on the application of the local NOCLAR provisions if you seek such assistance, e.g., in dealing with a NOCLAR or suspected NOCLAR? *(Select all that apply)*
 - Ethics hotline or equivalent (e.g., for technical queries, application questions on the local NOCLAR provisions)
 - Discussion forum (e.g., for sharing knowledge)
 - Whistleblower hotline (e.g., to report actual or suspected NOCLAR)
 - Other *(Please specify)*
 - None

Inquiries and questions

7. Which specific provisions in the local NOCLAR provisions have you inquired or raised questions about? *(Please provide details)*

Integration into internal framework

8. Has your employing organization made changes to its governance mechanisms, ethics policies and procedures, or internal code of conduct in response to the issuance of the local NOCLAR provisions?

- Yes (*Please describe*)
- No
- Not known

Level of understanding

9. Do you have an overall understanding of the local NOCLAR provisions?

- Yes
- Somewhat
- No

Practical experience (benefits and challenges)

10. Have you encountered any NOCLAR or suspected NOCLAR in your work?

- Yes, (*Where possible, please provide details such as (i) general nature of the NOCLAR, (ii) to whom it was communicated, and (iii) how the matter has been addressed (information provided may be anonymized)*)
- No

11. What benefits have you experienced as a result of the application of the local NOCLAR provisions? (*Please explain the basis for your response, e.g., you feel better equipped to hold a conversation on a NOCLAR matter with management or those charged with governance of your employing organization; the standard was helpful in guiding you as to how to respond to a NOCLAR or suspected NOCLAR matter?*)

12. Which of the local NOCLAR provisions have you found most challenging to apply when responding to a NOCLAR or suspected NOCLAR? (*Please provide details*)

13. Have you used your employing organization's whistleblowing policy in responding to a NOCLAR or suspected NOCLAR? If so, why did you use this whistleblowing policy instead of escalating the matter to a supervisor or above? (*Please provide details (information provided may be anonymized)*)

Legal protection

14. In your jurisdiction, does legislation or regulation exist (e.g., under whistleblowing laws or regulations) that provides protection from civil, criminal, or professional liability, or from retaliation, when NOCLAR or suspected NOCLAR is disclosed to an appropriate authority? Please provide details.

If yes, is the protection under the relevant law and regulation perceived to be effective? Please explain your response.

Other comments

15. From your perspective, what further efforts should IESBA pursue to enhance the effectiveness of

implementation of the IESBA NOCLAR provisions globally? *(Please explain your response)*

16. Do you have any other comments or specific matters the IESBA should consider as part of this PIR on the IESBA NOCLAR provisions (including on any benefits arising from using the local NOCLAR provisions)?

Part G – Other Users or Beneficiaries of the Code

4. *This section refers to the “local NOCLAR provisions” issued by the local professional accountancy organization, a regulator or the local standard setter responsible for promulgating ethics standards for PAPPs and/or PAIBs, where those local provisions are based on the NOCLAR provisions in the IESBA Code. Has implementation of the local NOCLAR provisions enhanced your confidence in professional accountants and accounting firms responding to NOCLAR or suspected NOCLAR? (Please explain your response)*
5. *From your perspective, what further efforts should IESBA pursue to enhance the effectiveness of implementation of the IESBA NOCLAR provisions globally? (Please explain your response)*
6. *In your jurisdiction, does legislation or regulation exist (e.g., under whistleblowing laws or regulations) that provides protection from civil, criminal, or professional liability, or from retaliation, when NOCLAR or suspected NOCLAR is disclosed to an appropriate authority? (Please provide details)*

If yes, is the protection under the relevant law or regulation perceived to be effective? (Please explain your response)
7. *Do you have any other comments or specific matters the IESBA should consider as part of this PIR on the IESBA NOCLAR provisions?*

End of survey

Thank you for your valuable input. Your input will inform the IESBA's Post-Implementation Review and help the IESBA develop clear, robust and practical ethics standards that reinforce public trust in the profession and effectively serve the public interest.