Response ID:170 Data

2. (untitled)

Please indicate if you are responding as an individual or on behalf of your organization?

Responding on behalf of your organization

Approximate years of professional experience:

If you are responding on behalf of your organization, please indicate the jurisdictional profile which best represents your situation, i.e., from which jurisdictional perspective are you providing your responses?

Global

Please indicate the stakeholder category to which you belong, i.e., from which perspective are you providing your responses? If you or your organization has one or more roles (e.g., JSS and Regulator), please respond to all relevant parts of the survey.

Accounting firms and individual PAPPs (Complete Part E)

Please provide the following information *(Personal information will not be made public and will only be used by the Project Team to follow up if clarification on your responses is needed):*

Your organization's name, if any (if you are responding on behalf of an organization[1])

KPMG International

Your name and job title / role:

Karen Bjune
Managing Director, Global Ethics & Independence

Your email address:

kbjune@kpmg.com

Your jurisdiction/country:

Global

3. (untitled)

Has your organization adopted the NOCLAR provisions of the Code (IESBA NOCLAR provisions)? (Please choose the most representative answer)

If you have answered (a), (b) or (c), were the IESBA NOCLAR provisions translated into the local NOCLAR provisions? If yes, please indicate the language of translation.

What guidance or educational materials has your organization issued, or what programs has your organization developed, to support understanding of the local NOCLAR provisions in your jurisdiction? (Select all that apply and provide links)

Does your organization provide guidance to individual professional accountants, accounting firms, or other users of your standards in the application of the local NOCLAR provisions if they seek such assistance, e.g., in dealing with a NOCLAR matter? (Select all that apply)

Which specific provisions in the local NOCLAR provisions has your organization commonly received inquiries or questions about? *(Please provide details, including from which stakeholder groups the inquiries or questions arose)*

Do users of your organization's standards have an overall understanding of the local NOCLAR provisions? (Please explain the basis for your response, including how your organization evaluates the overall understanding of the local NOCLAR provisions by users of your standards)

In your jurisdiction, does legislation or regulation exist (e.g., under whistleblowing laws or regulations) that provides protection from civil, criminal or professional liability, or from retaliation, when NOCLAR or suspected NOCLAR is disclosed to an appropriate authority? If yes, is the protection under the relevant law or regulation perceived to be effective?

Please provide details.

Has your organization observed any trend in instances of NOCLAR reported or escalated? If so, please describe the trend and indicate the period for which this trend has been observed, as well as the general nature of the NOCLAR commonly observed.

Based on your organization's observations, has the application of the local NOCLAR provisions been effective in deterring the commission of NOCLAR, or rectifying, remediating or mitigating the consequences of NOCLAR? (Please explain the basis for your response and provide any relevant details, including any supporting materials or reports)

Has your organization observed any challenges in the application of the local NOCLAR provisions? (Please provide details, including specific provisions that caused particular challenges and why, as well as any actions taken to address them)

Has your organization observed any trends where professional accountants or accounting firms encountered NOCLAR or suspected NOCLAR but did not apply the local NOCLAR provisions in whole or in part?

From your perspective, what further efforts should IESBA pursue to enhance the effectiveness of implementation of the IESBA NOCLAR provisions globally? (Please explain your response)

Do you have any other comments or specific matters the IESBA should consider as part of this PIR on the IESBA NOCLAR provisions (including on any benefits arising from using the local NOCLAR provisions, or on matters of translation)?

5. (untitled)

Has your organization adopted the NOCLAR provisions of the Code (IESBA NOCLAR provisions)? (Please choose the most representative answer)

If you have answered (a), (b) or (c), were the IESBA NOCLAR provisions translated into the local NOCLAR provisions? If yes, please indicate the language of translation.

6. (untitled)

What guidance or educational materials has your organization issued, or what programs has your organization developed, to support understanding of the local NOCLAR provisions in your jurisdiction? (Select all that apply and provide links)

Does your organization provide guidance to individual members and accounting firms in

the application of the local NOCLAR provisions if they seek such assistance, e.g., in dealing with a NOCLAR matter? (Select all that apply)

Which specific provisions in the local NOCLAR provisions has your organization commonly received inquiries or questions about? (Please provide details, including from which stakeholder groups the inquiries or questions arose)

Do your organization's members have an overall understanding of the local NOCLAR provisions? (Please explain the basis for your response, including how your organization evaluates the overall understanding of the local NOCLAR provisions by its members)

Does your organization enforce the local NOCLAR provisions?

Does your organization have the authority to investigate NOCLAR or suspected NOCLAR that is reported to it and take any necessary action?

In your jurisdiction, does legislation or regulation exist (e.g., under whistleblowing laws or regulations) that provides protection from civil, criminal, or professional liability, or from retaliation, when NOCLAR or suspected NOCLAR is disclosed to an appropriate authority? Please provide details.

If yes, is the protection under the relevant law or regulation perceived to be effective? Please explain your response.

Has your organization observed any trend in instances of NOCLAR reported or escalated?

If so, please describe the trend and indicate the period for which this trend has been observed, as well as the general nature of the NOCLAR commonly observed.

Based on your organization's observations, has application of the local NOCLAR provisions been effective in deterring the commission of NOCLAR, or rectifying, remediating or mitigating the consequences of NOCLAR? (Please explain the basis for your response and provide any relevant details, including any supporting materials or reports)

Has your organization observed any challenges in the application of the local NOCLAR provisions? (Please provide details, including specific provisions that caused particular

challenges and why, as well as any actions taken to address them)

Has your organization observed any trends where members or accounting firms encountered NOCLAR or suspected NOCLAR but did not apply the local NOCLAR provisions in whole or in part?

From your perspective, what further efforts should IESBA pursue to enhance the effectiveness of implementation of the IESBA NOCLAR provisions globally? (Please explain your response)

Do you have any other comments or specific matters the IESBA should consider as part of this PIR on the IESBA NOCLAR provisions (including on any benefits arising from using the local NOCLAR provisions, or on matters of translation)?

7. (untitled)

Has your organization adopted the NOCLAR provisions of the Code (IESBA NOCLAR provisions)? (Please choose the most representative answer)

If you have answered (a), (b) or (c), were the IESBA NOCLAR provisions translated into the local NOCLAR provisions? If yes, please indicate the language of translation.

What guidance or educational materials has your organization issued, or what programs has your organization developed, to support understanding of the local NOCLAR provisions in your jurisdiction? (Select all that apply and provide links)

Does your organization provide guidance to individual professional accountants or accounting firms in the application of the local NOCLAR provisions if they seek such assistance, e.g., in dealing with a NOCLAR matter? (Select all that apply)

Which specific provisions in the local NOCLAR provisions has your organization commonly received inquiries or questions about? (Please provide details including which stakeholder groups the inquires or questions arose)

Do the professional accountants or accounting firms that your organization regulates or oversees have an overall understanding of the local NOCLAR provisions? (Please explain

the basis for your response, including how your organization evaluates the overall understanding of the local NOCLAR provisions by professional accountants or accounting firms you regulate or oversee)

Does your organization enforce the local NOCLAR provisions?

Does your organization have the authority to investigate NOCLAR or suspected NOCLAR that is reported to it and take any necessary action?

In your jurisdiction, does legislation or regulation exist (e.g., under whistleblowing laws or regulations) that provides protection from civil, criminal, or professional liability, or from retaliation, when NOCLAR or suspected NOCLAR is disclosed to an appropriate authority? Please provide details.

If yes, is the protection under the relevant law and regulation perceived to be effective? Please explain your response.

Has your organization observed any trend in instances of NOCLAR reported or escalated? If so, please describe the trend and indicate the period for which this trend has been observed, as well as the general nature of the NOCLAR commonly observed.

Based on your organization's observations, has the application of the local NOCLAR provisions been effective in deterring the commission of NOCLAR, or rectifying, remediating or mitigating the consequences of NOCLAR? (Please explain the basis for your response and provide any relevant details including any supporting materials or reports)

Has your organization observed any challenges in the application of the local NOCLAR provisions? (Please provide details, including specific provisions that caused particular challenges and why, as well as any action taken to address them)

Has your organization observed any trends where professional accountants or accounting firms your organization oversees encountered NOCLAR or suspected NOCLAR but did not apply the local NOCLAR provisions in whole or in part?

From your perspective, what further efforts should IESBA pursue to enhance the effectiveness of implementation of the IESBA NOCLAR provisions globally? (Please explain

your response)

Do you have any other comments or specific matters the IESBA should consider as part of this PIR on the IESBA NOCLAR provisions (including on any benefits arising from using the local NOCLAR provisions, or on matters of translation)?

8. (untitled)

Has your firm implemented global, regional or local policies and methodologies that adopt the NOCLAR provisions of the Code (IESBA NOCLAR provisions) or the locally adopted version of the IESBA NOCLAR provisions, as applicable? (Please choose the most representative answer)

(a) Yes, through full adoption with no modifications (Please provide (i) information on the effective date and (ii) details of your firm's NOCLAR policies and methodologies): Referring to policies and methodologies of our global network

If you have answered (a), (b) or (c), were the IESBA NOCLAR provisions translated into the local NOCLAR provisions? If yes, please indicate the language of translation.

This question is for global networks.

Where an individual firm is part of a global network and the network has adopted the IESBA NOCLAR provisions, how are these provisions implemented across network firms in the following situations:

The jurisdiction in which the individual firm is based has provisions in relevant law or regulation or another ethical framework that address NOCLAR (Please describe the circumstances and how this interacts with the network policies)
Comments: The jurisdiction in which the individual firm is based has not adopted the IESBA NOCLAR provisions: Across our global network, the IESBA NOCLAR provisions are implemented through a global baseline framework that is embedded in our policies and methodologies. In jurisdictions that have not adopted NOCLAR, network firms apply our global baseline to the extent permitted under applicable professional, legal and regulatory requirements. The jurisdiction in which the individual firm is based has provisions in relevant law or regulation or another ethical framework that address NOCLAR: Overall, we follow a consistent approach: network firms apply our global baseline as the foundation. Where jurisdictions have relevant laws, regulations, or other ethical frameworks addressing NOCLAR, those network firms adjust the global baseline as needed to comply with applicable professional, legal and regulatory requirements.

What guidance or educational materials has your firm or network issued, or what programs has your firm or network developed, to support understanding of the NOCLAR policies and methodologies of the firm or network? (Select all that apply and provide links)

Technical guidance (e.g., FAQs)
Education/training programs (e.g., webinars)

Articles, publications or newsletters (e.g., updates about standards, case studies or illustrative examples)

If your firm is part of a network, does the network provide guidance to network firms in the application of the network's NOCLAR policies and methodologies? (Select all that apply)

Ethics hotline or equivalent(e.g., for technical queries, application questions on the network's NOCLAR policies and methodologies)
Other (Please specify): Global Quality and Risk Management Manual as well as other tools and guidance materials.

Do audit partners and staff within your firm have an overall understanding of your firm's NOCLAR policies and methodologies? (Please explain the basis for your response, including how your organization evaluates the overall understanding of the local NOCLAR provisions by its audit personnel)

Yes
Comments: Partners and staff have sufficient resources and support to comply with the network's NOCLAR policies and to address NOCLAR matters appropriately in practice. This understanding is supported by: - Inclusion of NOCLAR considerations within our audit and assurance methodologies, manuals and other supporting guidance (see responses under 'Guidance and Educational Materials' and 'Other Support') - Integration into broader systems of quality management, including responsibilities for engagement teams and partners - Established consultation and escalation processes that provide practical support

Do non-audit partners and staff within your firm have an overall understanding of your firm's NOCLAR policies and methodologies? (Please explain the basis for your response, including how your organization evaluates the overall understanding of the local NOCLAR provisions by its non-audit personnel)

Yes
Comments: Partners and staff have sufficient resources and support to comply with the network's NOCLAR policies and to address NOCLAR matters appropriately in practice. This understanding is supported by: - Manuals and other supporting guidance (see responses under 'Guidance and Educational Materials' and 'Other Support') - Integration into broader firm-wide processes and controls - Established consultation and escalation processes that provide practical support

Have you or your firm encountered any NOCLAR or suspected NOCLAR (i) at a client, or (ii) within the firm?

Comments:

Have you or your firm observed any trend in instances of NOCLAR reported or escalated?

If so, please describe the trend and indicate the period for which this trend has been observed, as well as the general nature of the NOCLAR commonly observed.

What benefits have you or your firm experienced as a result of the implementation of its NOCLAR policies and methodologies? (Please explain the basis for your response, e.g., do

partners and staff feel better equipped to hold a conversation on a NOCLAR matter with their client; the standard helpful in guiding partners and staff as to how to respond to a NOCLAR or suspected NOCLAR matter?)

Which aspects of the IESBA NOCLAR provisions or the local NOCLAR provisions has your firm found most challenging to apply when responding to a NOCLAR or suspected NOCLAR? (Please provide details, including whether the challenges were for (i) audit partners and staff, and (ii) non-audit partners and staff)

Has your firm's whistleblowing policy been used by personnel within the firm to respond to a NOCLAR or suspected NOCLAR? If so, why was this used instead of escalating the matter to a supervisor or above? (Please provide details (information provided may be anonymized))

In your jurisdiction, does legislation or regulation exist (e.g., under whistleblowing laws or regulations) that provides protection from civil, criminal, or professional liability, or from retaliation, when NOCLAR or suspected NOCLAR is disclosed to an appropriate authority? Please provide details.

If yes, is the protection under the relevant law and regulation perceived to be effective? (Please explain your response)

From your perspective, what further efforts should IESBA pursue to enhance the effectiveness of implementation of the IESBA NOCLAR provisions globally? (Please explain your response)

From our perspective, the NOCLAR provisions are broadly fit for purpose and can be implemented effectively within firm methodologies. Accordingly, we do not believe changes to the provisions are needed at this time.

Do you have any other comments or specific matters the IESBA should consider as part of this PIR on the IESBA NOCLAR provisions (including on matters of translation)?

From our perspective, the NOCLAR provisions are functioning as intended and provide a sufficiently robust framework to guide professional accountants in responding to NOCLAR.

The flexibility of the principles-based approach remains an important strength, enabling consistent application across different jurisdictions and circumstances. This approach should be preserved in any future enhancements, respecting differences in applicable local professional, legal and regulatory requirements.

We have not responded to certain questions in this survey (in particular Questions 10–15). We fully support the objectives of post-implementation reviews and believe they should focus on 1) assessing whether the provisions are working as intended, 2) identifying implementation challenges, and 3) considering whether any improvements are needed. In our view, those questions do not meaningfully contribute to these objectives or elicit information that respondents can reasonably provide.

9. (untitled)

What guidance or educational materials have you received or accessed on the local NOCLAR provisions? (Select all that apply and provide links)

From which organizations have you received guidance or educational materials on the local NOCLAR provisions? (Select all that apply)

Do you have access to guidance on the application of the local NOCLAR provisions if you seek such assistance, e.g., in dealing with a NOCLAR or suspected NOCLAR? (Select all that apply)

Which specific provisions in the local NOCLAR provisions have you inquired or raised questions about? (Please provide details)

Has your employing organization made changes to its governance mechanisms, ethics policies and procedures, or internal code of conduct in response to the issuance of the local NOCLAR provisions?

Do you have an overall understanding of the local NOCLAR provisions?

Have you encountered any NOCLAR or suspected NOCLAR in your work?

What benefits have you experienced as a result of the application of the local NOCLAR provisions? (Please explain the basis for your response, e.g., you feel better equipped to hold a conversation on a NOCLAR matter with management or those charged with governance of your employing organization; the standard was helpful in guiding you as to how to respond to a NOCLAR or suspected NOCLAR matter?)

Which of the local NOCLAR provisions have you found most challenging to apply when responding to a NOCLAR or suspected NOCLAR? (Please provide details)

Have you used your employing organization’s whistleblowing policy in responding to a

NOCLAR or suspected NOCLAR? If so, why did you use this whistleblowing policy instead of escalating the matter to a supervisor or above? (Please provide details (information provided may be anonymized))

In your jurisdiction, does legislation or regulation exist (e.g., under whistleblowing laws or regulations) that provides protection from civil, criminal, or professional liability, or from retaliation, when NOCLAR or suspected NOCLAR is disclosed to an appropriate authority? Please provide details.

If yes, is the protection under the relevant law and regulation perceived to be effective? Please explain your response.

From your perspective, what further efforts should IESBA pursue to enhance the effectiveness of implementation of the IESBA NOCLAR provisions globally? (Please explain your response)

Do you have any other comments or specific matters the IESBA should consider as part of this PIR on the IESBA NOCLAR provisions (including on any benefits arising from using the local NOCLAR provisions)?

10. (untitled)

This section refers to the "local NOCLAR provisions" issued by the local professional accountancy organization, a regulator or the local standard setter responsible for promulgating ethics standards for PAPPs and/or PAIBs, where those local provisions are based on the NOCLAR provisions in the IESBA Code. Has implementation of the local NOCLAR provisions enhanced your confidence in professional accountants and accounting firms responding to NOCLAR or suspected NOCLAR? (Please explain your response)

From your perspective, what further efforts should IESBA pursue to enhance the effectiveness of implementation of the IESBA NOCLAR provisions globally? (Please explain your response)

In your jurisdiction, does legislation or regulation exist (e.g., under whistleblowing laws or regulations) that provides protection from civil, criminal, or professional liability, or from retaliation, when NOCLAR or suspected NOCLAR is disclosed to an appropriate authority? (Please provide details)

If yes, is the protection under the relevant law or regulation perceived to be effective? (Please explain your response)

Do you have any other comments or specific matters the IESBA should consider as part of this PIR on the IESBA NOCLAR provisions?