

2. (untitled)

Please indicate if you are responding as an individual or on behalf of your organization?

Responding on behalf of your organization

Approximate years of professional experience:

If you are responding on behalf of your organization, please indicate the jurisdictional profile which best represents your situation, i.e., from which jurisdictional perspective are you providing your responses?

Global

Please indicate the stakeholder category to which you belong, i.e., from which perspective are you providing your responses? If you or your organization has one or more roles (e.g., JSS and Regulator), please respond to all relevant parts of the survey.

Accounting firms and individual PAPPs (Complete Part E)

Please provide the following information (*Personal information will not be made public and will only be used by the Project Team to follow up if clarification on your responses is needed*):

Your organization's name, if any (if you are responding on behalf of an organization[1])

Forvis Mazars Group SC

Your name and job title / role:

Mar Hernández - Group Head of Ethics and Independence

Your email address:

mar.hernandez@forvismazars.com

Your jurisdiction/country:

Global

3. (untitled)

Has your organization adopted the NOCLAR provisions of the Code (IESBA NOCLAR provisions)? (Please choose the most representative answer)

If you have answered (a), (b) or (c), were the IESBA NOCLAR provisions translated into the local NOCLAR provisions? If yes, please indicate the language of translation.

What guidance or educational materials has your organization issued, or what programs has your organization developed, to support understanding of the local NOCLAR provisions in your jurisdiction? (Select all that apply and provide links)

Does your organization provide guidance to individual professional accountants, accounting firms, or other users of your standards in the application of the local NOCLAR provisions if they seek such assistance, e.g., in dealing with a NOCLAR matter? (Select all that apply)

Which specific provisions in the local NOCLAR provisions has your organization commonly received inquiries or questions about? *(Please provide details, including from which stakeholder groups the inquiries or questions arose)*

Do users of your organization's standards have an overall understanding of the local NOCLAR provisions? (Please explain the basis for your response, including how your organization evaluates the overall understanding of the local NOCLAR provisions by users of your standards)

In your jurisdiction, does legislation or regulation exist (e.g., under whistleblowing laws or regulations) that provides protection from civil, criminal or professional liability, or from retaliation, when NOCLAR or suspected NOCLAR is disclosed to an appropriate authority? If yes, is the protection under the relevant law or regulation perceived to be effective?

Please provide details.

Has your organization observed any trend in instances of NOCLAR reported or escalated? If so, please describe the trend and indicate the period for which this trend has been observed, as well as the general nature of the NOCLAR commonly observed.

Based on your organization's observations, has the application of the local NOCLAR provisions been effective in deterring the commission of NOCLAR, or rectifying, remediating or mitigating the consequences of NOCLAR? (Please explain the basis for your response and provide any relevant details, including any supporting materials or reports)

Has your organization observed any challenges in the application of the local NOCLAR provisions? (Please provide details, including specific provisions that caused particular challenges and why, as well as any actions taken to address them)

Has your organization observed any trends where professional accountants or accounting firms encountered NOCLAR or suspected NOCLAR but did not apply the local NOCLAR provisions in whole or in part?

From your perspective, what further efforts should IESBA pursue to enhance the effectiveness of implementation of the IESBA NOCLAR provisions globally? (Please explain your response)

Do you have any other comments or specific matters the IESBA should consider as part of this PIR on the IESBA NOCLAR provisions (including on any benefits arising from using the local NOCLAR provisions, or on matters of translation)?

5. (untitled)

Has your organization adopted the NOCLAR provisions of the Code (IESBA NOCLAR provisions)? (Please choose the most representative answer)

If you have answered (a), (b) or (c), were the IESBA NOCLAR provisions translated into the local NOCLAR provisions? If yes, please indicate the language of translation.

6. (untitled)

What guidance or educational materials has your organization issued, or what programs has your organization developed, to support understanding of the local NOCLAR provisions in your jurisdiction? (Select all that apply and provide links)

Does your organization provide guidance to individual members and accounting firms in

the application of the local NOCLAR provisions if they seek such assistance, e.g., in dealing with a NOCLAR matter? (Select all that apply)

Which specific provisions in the local NOCLAR provisions has your organization commonly received inquiries or questions about? (Please provide details, including from which stakeholder groups the inquiries or questions arose)

Do your organization's members have an overall understanding of the local NOCLAR provisions? (Please explain the basis for your response, including how your organization evaluates the overall understanding of the local NOCLAR provisions by its members)

Does your organization enforce the local NOCLAR provisions?

Does your organization have the authority to investigate NOCLAR or suspected NOCLAR that is reported to it and take any necessary action?

In your jurisdiction, does legislation or regulation exist (e.g., under whistleblowing laws or regulations) that provides protection from civil, criminal, or professional liability, or from retaliation, when NOCLAR or suspected NOCLAR is disclosed to an appropriate authority? Please provide details.

If yes, is the protection under the relevant law or regulation perceived to be effective? Please explain your response.

Has your organization observed any trend in instances of NOCLAR reported or escalated?

If so, please describe the trend and indicate the period for which this trend has been observed, as well as the general nature of the NOCLAR commonly observed.

Based on your organization's observations, has application of the local NOCLAR provisions been effective in deterring the commission of NOCLAR, or rectifying, remediating or mitigating the consequences of NOCLAR? (Please explain the basis for your response and provide any relevant details, including any supporting materials or reports)

Has your organization observed any challenges in the application of the local NOCLAR provisions? (Please provide details, including specific provisions that caused particular

challenges and why, as well as any actions taken to address them)

Has your organization observed any trends where members or accounting firms encountered NOCLAR or suspected NOCLAR but did not apply the local NOCLAR provisions in whole or in part?

From your perspective, what further efforts should IESBA pursue to enhance the effectiveness of implementation of the IESBA NOCLAR provisions globally? (Please explain your response)

Do you have any other comments or specific matters the IESBA should consider as part of this PIR on the IESBA NOCLAR provisions (including on any benefits arising from using the local NOCLAR provisions, or on matters of translation)?

7. (untitled)

Has your organization adopted the NOCLAR provisions of the Code (IESBA NOCLAR provisions)? (Please choose the most representative answer)

If you have answered (a), (b) or (c), were the IESBA NOCLAR provisions translated into the local NOCLAR provisions? If yes, please indicate the language of translation.

What guidance or educational materials has your organization issued, or what programs has your organization developed, to support understanding of the local NOCLAR provisions in your jurisdiction? (Select all that apply and provide links)

Does your organization provide guidance to individual professional accountants or accounting firms in the application of the local NOCLAR provisions if they seek such assistance, e.g., in dealing with a NOCLAR matter? (Select all that apply)

Which specific provisions in the local NOCLAR provisions has your organization commonly received inquiries or questions about? (Please provide details including which stakeholder groups the inquiries or questions arose)

Do the professional accountants or accounting firms that your organization regulates or oversees have an overall understanding of the local NOCLAR provisions? (Please explain

the basis for your response, including how your organization evaluates the overall understanding of the local NOCLAR provisions by professional accountants or accounting firms you regulate or oversee)

Does your organization enforce the local NOCLAR provisions?

Does your organization have the authority to investigate NOCLAR or suspected NOCLAR that is reported to it and take any necessary action?

In your jurisdiction, does legislation or regulation exist (e.g., under whistleblowing laws or regulations) that provides protection from civil, criminal, or professional liability, or from retaliation, when NOCLAR or suspected NOCLAR is disclosed to an appropriate authority? Please provide details.

If yes, is the protection under the relevant law and regulation perceived to be effective? Please explain your response.

Has your organization observed any trend in instances of NOCLAR reported or escalated? If so, please describe the trend and indicate the period for which this trend has been observed, as well as the general nature of the NOCLAR commonly observed.

Based on your organization's observations, has the application of the local NOCLAR provisions been effective in deterring the commission of NOCLAR, or rectifying, remediating or mitigating the consequences of NOCLAR? (Please explain the basis for your response and provide any relevant details including any supporting materials or reports)

Has your organization observed any challenges in the application of the local NOCLAR provisions? (Please provide details, including specific provisions that caused particular challenges and why, as well as any action taken to address them)

Has your organization observed any trends where professional accountants or accounting firms your organization oversees encountered NOCLAR or suspected NOCLAR but did not apply the local NOCLAR provisions in whole or in part?

From your perspective, what further efforts should IESBA pursue to enhance the effectiveness of implementation of the IESBA NOCLAR provisions globally? (Please explain

your response)

Do you have any other comments or specific matters the IESBA should consider as part of this PIR on the IESBA NOCLAR provisions (including on any benefits arising from using the local NOCLAR provisions, or on matters of translation)?

8. (untitled)

Has your firm implemented global, regional or local policies and methodologies that adopt the NOCLAR provisions of the Code (IESBA NOCLAR provisions) or the locally adopted version of the IESBA NOCLAR provisions, as applicable? (Please choose the most representative answer)

(b) Yes, through adoption with modifications (Please (i) describe the nature of, and reasons for the modifications, (ii) provide information on the effective date and (iii) provide details of your firm's NOCLAR policies and methodologies): For example: Some jurisdictions have additional statutory reporting obligations, for example in relation to anti-money laundering (AML) or terrorist financing. Other jurisdictions impose strict confidentiality requirements that may affect reporting obligations under the Code.

If you have answered (a), (b) or (c), were the IESBA NOCLAR provisions translated into the local NOCLAR provisions? If yes, please indicate the language of translation.

Mixed approach- country specific

This question is for global networks.

Where an individual firm is part of a global network and the network has adopted the IESBA NOCLAR provisions, how are these provisions implemented across network firms in the following situations:

The jurisdiction in which the individual firm is based has provisions in relevant law or regulation or another ethical framework that address NOCLAR (Please describe the circumstances and how this interacts with the network policies)

Comments: The IESBA NOCLAR provisions have been adopted and are applicable to all firms in the network. However, the jurisdiction in which a member firm operates has specific local requirements relating to these matters that are more restrictive than. or in addition to those established in the IESBA Code, the local requirements shall prevail and be applied.

What guidance or educational materials has your firm or network issued, or what programs has your firm or network developed, to support understanding of the NOCLAR policies and methodologies of the firm or network? (Select all that apply and provide links)

Technical guidance (e.g., FAQs)

Education/training programs (e.g., webinars)

Articles, publications or newsletters (e.g., updates about standards, case studies or illustrative examples)

If your firm is part of a network, does the network provide guidance to network firms in the application of the network's NOCLAR policies and methodologies? (Select all that apply)

Ethics hotline or equivalent(e.g., for technical queries, application questions on the network's NOCLAR policies and methodologies)

Do audit partners and staff within your firm have an overall understanding of your firm's NOCLAR policies and methodologies? (Please explain the basis for your response, including how your organization evaluates the overall understanding of the local NOCLAR provisions by its audit personnel)

Yes

Comments: In certain jurisdictions it is difficult to accurately assess the level of understanding. Nevertheless, we have concluded that there is a reasonable level of awareness and knowledge, based on the results of the quality review program, which has not identified any findings in respect of NOCLAR.

Do non-audit partners and staff within your firm have an overall understanding of your firm's NOCLAR policies and methodologies? (Please explain the basis for your response, including how your organization evaluates the overall understanding of the local NOCLAR provisions by its non-audit personnel)

Somewhat

Comments: In our survey of members firms, a number of firms considered the response to be somewhat or No, with broad consistency that the main reason is that non-auditors generally have limited exposure to, or awareness of, the NOCLAR requirements. However, the network provides training and awareness programs on compliance with ethical standards, including NOCLAR, to mitigate any lack of awareness.

Have you or your firm encountered any NOCLAR or suspected NOCLAR (i) at a client, or (ii) within the firm?

Yes, (Where possible, please provide details such as (i) general nature of the NOCLAR or suspected NOCLAR, (ii) whether the client was an audit or non-audit client, (iii) to whom it was communicated, and (iv) how the matter has been addressed (information provided may be anonymized))

Comments: In a survey of member firms, any NOCLAR cases identified were not considered to be of significant relevance, importance, or severity to warrant further action. In most instances, they are related to non-compliance with corporate or statutory requirements by audit clients, or to matters concerning communications with those charged with governance.

Have you or your firm observed any trend in instances of NOCLAR reported or escalated?

If so, please describe the trend and indicate the period for which this trend has been observed, as well as the general nature of the NOCLAR commonly observed.

Overall, no clear trend has been identified indicating an increased likelihood of encountering or identifying situations that would fall within the scope of the NOCLAR requirements. However, challenging economic and geopolitical conditions may create an environment in which such situations are more likely to occur or be detected.

What benefits have you or your firm experienced as a result of the implementation of its NOCLAR policies and methodologies? (Please explain the basis for your response, e.g., do partners and staff feel better equipped to hold a conversation on a NOCLAR matter with their client; the standard helpful in guiding partners and staff as to how to respond to a NOCLAR or suspected NOCLAR matter?)

Overall, the key benefits identified for this standard are the existence of a common and consistent framework for addressing these matters, together with enhanced visibility of potential issues and a structured approach for their assessment, escalation, and resolution.

Which aspects of the IESBA NOCLAR provisions or the local NOCLAR provisions has your firm found most challenging to apply when responding to a NOCLAR or suspected NOCLAR? (Please provide details, including whether the challenges were for (i) audit partners and staff, and (ii) non-audit partners and staff)

The challenges identified vary in nature, such as the difficulties in identifying potential NOCLAR matters and the significant technical complexity involved in assessing them, with many cases requiring consultation with, and support from, legal counsel. Another key challenge is determining the significance and materiality of such matters, to assess whether escalation is necessary or appropriate, particularly given the differences in size, nature, and circumstances across various types of entities.

Has your firm's whistleblowing policy been used by personnel within the firm to respond to a NOCLAR or suspected NOCLAR? If so, why was this used instead of escalating the matter to a supervisor or above? (Please provide details (information provided may be anonymized))

No. The general understanding is that the scope of the whistleblowing hotline is not directly related to the reporting of potential matters that may fall within the scope of the NOCLAR provisions.

In your jurisdiction, does legislation or regulation exist (e.g., under whistleblowing laws or regulations) that provides protection from civil, criminal, or professional liability, or from retaliation, when NOCLAR or suspected NOCLAR is disclosed to an appropriate authority? Please provide details.

If yes, is the protection under the relevant law and regulation perceived to be effective? (Please explain your response)

As a global organisation it is difficult to answer this question, as it is generally considered a highly specialized legal topic, with certain jurisdictions having established legal frameworks that provide protection for individuals reporting such matters. Nevertheless, there was broad agreement in our survey of member firms that confidentiality requirements exist across jurisdictions, are firmly embedded in the legal and professional environment, and are generally regarded as stringent.

From your perspective, what further efforts should IESBA pursue to enhance the effectiveness of implementation of the IESBA NOCLAR provisions globally? (Please explain your response)

Further guidance is needed for jurisdictions that are subject to professional secrecy and strict confidentiality requirements. More practical and operational guidance could also be provided, including considerations at the regional, entity, and materiality levels. Greater collaboration among standard setters would be beneficial to promote consistency, alignment, and simplification of the requirements.

Do you have any other comments or specific matters the IESBA should consider as part of this PIR on the IESBA NOCLAR provisions (including on matters of translation)?

The structure of the Code could be streamlined. The current distribution of the NOCLAR provisions across different parts of the Code, depending on the type of non-compliance and the category of professional accountants involved, makes the requirements more difficult to locate, navigate, and apply in practice. Simplifying the references and improving the organization of the relevant guidance would enhance accessibility and usability.

9. (untitled)

What guidance or educational materials have you received or accessed on the local NOCLAR provisions? (Select all that apply and provide links)

From which organizations have you received guidance or educational materials on the local NOCLAR provisions? (Select all that apply)

Do you have access to guidance on the application of the local NOCLAR provisions if you seek such assistance, e.g., in dealing with a NOCLAR or suspected NOCLAR? (Select all that apply)

Which specific provisions in the local NOCLAR provisions have you inquired or raised questions about? (Please provide details)

Has your employing organization made changes to its governance mechanisms, ethics policies and procedures, or internal code of conduct in response to the issuance of the local NOCLAR provisions?

Do you have an overall understanding of the local NOCLAR provisions?

Have you encountered any NOCLAR or suspected NOCLAR in your work?

What benefits have you experienced as a result of the application of the local NOCLAR

provisions? (Please explain the basis for your response, e.g., you feel better equipped to hold a conversation on a NOCLAR matter with management or those charged with governance of your employing organization; the standard was helpful in guiding you as to how to respond to a NOCLAR or suspected NOCLAR matter?)

Which of the local NOCLAR provisions have you found most challenging to apply when responding to a NOCLAR or suspected NOCLAR? (Please provide details)

Have you used your employing organization's whistleblowing policy in responding to a NOCLAR or suspected NOCLAR? If so, why did you use this whistleblowing policy instead of escalating the matter to a supervisor or above? (Please provide details (information provided may be anonymized))

In your jurisdiction, does legislation or regulation exist (e.g., under whistleblowing laws or regulations) that provides protection from civil, criminal, or professional liability, or from retaliation, when NOCLAR or suspected NOCLAR is disclosed to an appropriate authority? Please provide details.

If yes, is the protection under the relevant law and regulation perceived to be effective? Please explain your response.

From your perspective, what further efforts should IESBA pursue to enhance the effectiveness of implementation of the IESBA NOCLAR provisions globally? (Please explain your response)

Do you have any other comments or specific matters the IESBA should consider as part of this PIR on the IESBA NOCLAR provisions (including on any benefits arising from using the local NOCLAR provisions)?

10. (untitled)

This section refers to the "local NOCLAR provisions" issued by the local professional accountancy organization, a regulator or the local standard setter responsible for promulgating ethics standards for PAPPs and/or PAIBs, where those local provisions are based on the NOCLAR provisions in the IESBA Code. Has implementation of the local NOCLAR provisions enhanced your confidence in professional accountants and accounting firms responding to NOCLAR or suspected NOCLAR? (Please explain your response)

From your perspective, what further efforts should IESBA pursue to enhance the effectiveness of implementation of the IESBA NOCLAR provisions globally? (Please explain your response)

In your jurisdiction, does legislation or regulation exist (e.g., under whistleblowing laws or regulations) that provides protection from civil, criminal, or professional liability, or from retaliation, when NOCLAR or suspected NOCLAR is disclosed to an appropriate authority? (Please provide details)

If yes, is the protection under the relevant law or regulation perceived to be effective? (Please explain your response)

Do you have any other comments or specific matters the IESBA should consider as part of this PIR on the IESBA NOCLAR provisions?
